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**Mission**
Connecting people with places through safe, friendly, and reliable public transportation services

**Values**
Safety – Service Excellence – Communication – Innovation – Accountability
To: Board of Directors

From: Allan Pollock, General Manager

Date: January 8, 2018

Subject: Proposed 2018 Legislative Agenda

The Board annually adopts a legislative agenda to set direction for legislative activities. The agenda is used as a guide when responding to legislative matters and as a communication tool with legislators and other interested parties.

Staff developed the proposed legislative agenda for the District in conjunction with CFM Strategic Communications, the District's legislative consultant. The proposed 2018 federal and state legislative agenda is included as attachment A. The District’s proposed agenda identifies legislative priorities and projects for annual appropriations and grant opportunities.

The purpose of tonight’s discussion is to review and finalize the proposed legislative agenda. It is the intent of staff to present the proposed agenda for Board adoption at the January 25, 2018 Board meeting.

Attachments:
Draft 2018 Federal Legislative Agenda
Draft 2018 State Legislative Agenda
# 2018 FEDERAL LEGISLATIVE AGENDA

## GRANT REQUESTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>REQUEST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Because of the significant reduction in federal funding for buses in MAP-21 and other factors, the Salem Area Mass Transit District (SAMTD) is facing a significant bus replacement problem. In 2018, over half of SAMTD’s 64 bus fleet will be operating past useful life, increasing maintenance costs, roadside service calls and reducing overall service levels. Buses are a transit system’s most valuable physical asset because good customer service is dependent on the condition of the fleet. SAMTD is committed to providing a system that is both responsive and improves and promotes environmental sustainability. Thus, SAMTD is seeking funding for bus replacement from two FTA grant programs:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Six CNG Replacement Buses</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 2,475,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAMTD is requesting funds to replace six compressed natural gas buses. The proposed replacement buses are 16 years old and reached the end of useful life in 2015 and range from 469,000 miles to 508,000 miles. FTA standard useful life is 12 years old or 500,000 miles, whichever comes first. The replacement buses will have newer technology and safety features, and help SAMTD maintain reliable on-time service for our passengers and connections with regional transportation partners. New buses would also reduce maintenance costs, increase on-time performance and improve safety for our riders.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Five Zero Emission Buses</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 4,104,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAMTD is requesting funds to purchase five zero emission battery electric buses in the Low-No FTA grant program. Battery electric busses help SAMTD with three major needs: lower operational costs, reduced maintenance needs, and reduced air pollution emissions. SAMTD’s no emissions electric bus deployment will be another step in further reducing its carbon footprint, improving air quality and helping achieve energy independence. The 2018 Low-No program will award $55 million in federal funds to transit agencies that seek to purchase state-of-the-art buses that significantly reduce carbon emissions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regional Transit Center Construction</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 2,000,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The current transit and traffic network in the Salem-Keizer region needs significant improvement. Transit Centers are designed to enhance smart growth and development from both a business and residential perspective. SAMTD is proceeding with the development of three new Transit Centers to improve traffic flow, reduce commuter travel times and costs and increase ridership options for current and future riders. Keizer Transit Center, the first of four new transit centers, was completed in 2012. The second Transit Center scheduled for construction is the South Salem Transit Center (SSTC). The SAMTD Board has adopted a Locally Preferred Alternative in the site selection process. Depending on grant funding, construction could begin in 2018. This facility will improve transit reliability to the regular transit rider, encourage use by new riders, and improve</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
overall efficiency of the transit service. SSTC will contribute to a more stable work force by providing an appealing, reliable, and efficient transportation system.

SSTC will link frequent corridor service to neighborhood circulators. The design of the Transit Center will incorporate the following elements: a 6-10 bay bus transfer center, a park and ride lot with 40 to 100 spaces, indoor passenger waiting area with restrooms and customer amenities, bicycle facilities, energy efficiency features and opportunities for commercial development.

**Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) Project**

Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) improves transit planning and the customer experience by providing rich analysis and real-time information through various web and mobile channels. ITS technology would provide valuable information for partner agencies such as data for traffic flow analysis or real-time, remote access to bus security cameras for emergency situations. Additionally, ITS technology provides valuable data on vehicle diagnostics, travel patterns, and passenger ridership for computer-aided dispatch and transit planning. ITS would expand and replace current SAMTD vehicle technology which is seriously outdated, beyond useful life, and in some cases no longer supported by the manufacturer.

**POLICY ISSUES**

**Extend and Make Permanent the Alternative Fuels Tax Credit**

The Alternative Fuels Tax Credit provides a tax credit for a portion of costs associated with alternative fuel use by transit systems. The District supports an extension of the credit through 2018 or a permanent extension of the provision as the annual impact of this legislation on operations is approximately $170,000.

**Health Retirement Account - VEBA**

SAMTD supports legislation that will provide northwest employees of political subdivisions, such as cities, counties, port districts, and fire districts, with more flexibility in designating beneficiaries for their Health Retirement Accounts when they pass away. Many political subdivisions in Washington, Oregon, and Idaho participate in voluntary employees' beneficiary association (VEBA) trusts that provide HRAs. A 2006 IRS ruling imposes rules on HRAs that cause participants in these plans to lose earned benefits if they die without a spouse or dependent. In 2008, Congress passed the Worker, Retiree, and Employer Recovery Act of 2008 (HR 7327) that addressed this situation for certain HRA plans (those created in conjunction with public retirement systems) but not all. A technical fix is needed to include plans established by or on behalf of a state or political subdivision. This is a non-controversial, technical fix to prior legislation.

**CONTACT:**

Allan Pollock, General Manager/CEO
Salem Area Mass Transit District,
503.588.2424; allan.pollock@cherriots.org

Joel Rubin, CFM Strategic Communications,
202.347.9171; joelr@cfmdc.com
Salem Area Mass Transit District (aka Cherriots) has established as its top legislative priority the implementation of HB 2017, the transportation package, and the distribution of revenue from the Statewide Transportation Improvement Fund.

Cherriots adopts the following state legislative agenda:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>HB 2017 Technical Fixes and Implementation. <em>Work with OTA, ODOT, Department of Revenue and other stakeholders to identify issues with HB 2017 (2017) and address them to the District’s satisfaction. Implement STIF plan via rulemaking.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Continuation of the State Employee Bus Pass Program. *Work with legislative champions (<em>Senators Courtney and Winters) to advocate for renewed state investment in the program.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Transit Governance. <em>Redraft of SB 10 (2017) to align SKT’s governance model with that of Tri-Met and Lane Transit District, including similar taxing authority. Working closely with Senators Courtney and Winters.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Transferability of Existing and Expiring Energy Incentive Tax Credits. <em>Collaborate with impacted stakeholders to educate lawmakers, pass legislation and secure a funding fix.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cap and Invest. <em>Creates a carbon-trading program to be regulated by DEQ and other state agencies.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>VW Settlement. <em>Monitor implementation and potentially advocate.</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cherriots supports the Oregon Transit Association (OTA) 2018 legislative priorities. As concepts work through the system, CFM will monitor and report on any legislation which may impact the District and prepare an appropriate response.

**Contact:**
Allan Pollock, General Manager  503.588.2424   allan.pollock@cherriots.org
Dale Penn, CFM Strategic Communications  503.510.2200  dalep@cfmpdx.com
To: Board of Directors

From: Matt Berggren, Transit Planner II  
Chris French, Senior Planner

Thru: Allan Pollock, General Manager

Date: January 8, 2018

Subject: Results of the 2017 Needs Assessment


**What is a needs assessment?**
Beginning this year, Cherriots performs an annual needs assessment to determine unmet transit needs in the region. In order to determine needs, staff assesses current system performance, demographic shifts, and travel pattern data, then gathers input from current riders, community partners, and frontline employees.

From there, staff determines whether Cherriots bus service, other Cherriots services, and public and private transportation services in the region meet all transit needs. For any transit needs determined to be unmet, Cherriots evaluates whether those needs can be met using current or future resources.

**What happens when unmet needs are determined to be reasonable?**
When unmet transit needs are determined to be reasonable, solutions will be incorporated to meet those needs into the plan development process. If this were a typical year, these changes would be incorporated into the annual service change in September 2018. Due to increased funding expected in 2019, this needs assessment will also inform plans for significant service enhancements in 2019.

**What's next?**
Now that the needs assessment phase of the process is complete, staff will begin developing a service plan to address unmet needs given available funding. This will be split up into two plans: a service plan for September 2018, and a service enhancement plan for 2019 (with new funding from the State of Oregon).
6. Unmet transit needs ........................................................................................................................................ 63
   6.1 Saturday service ................................................................................................................................. 63
   6.2 Extended weekday evening service ................................................................................................. 63
   6.3 Sunday service ................................................................................................................................. 64
   6.4 Holiday service ............................................................................................................................... 64
   6.5 Increased weekday frequency ......................................................................................................... 65
   6.6 Expanded coverage .......................................................................................................................... 67
   6.7 Additional cities ............................................................................................................................... 69
   6.8 Improved timing and transfers ....................................................................................................... 70
   6.9 Improved on-time performance ..................................................................................................... 71
   6.10 More shelters and seating .............................................................................................................. 72
   6.11 Fare changes and additional payment options ............................................................................ 73

7. Next Steps ................................................................................................................................................. 75
   7.1 Plan development (December 2017-January 2018) ...................................................................... 76
   7.2 Public engagement (February-March 2018) .................................................................................. 76
   7.3 Finalization (April 2018) ............................................................................................................... 76
   7.4 Board review (May 2018) .............................................................................................................. 76
   7.5 Implementation (June-August 2018) ............................................................................................... 76
   7.6 Service enhancement referral (TBD) ............................................................................................... 76

Attachment A. Rider and Community Survey .............................................................................................. 77
   English .................................................................................................................................................. 77
   Spanish ................................................................................................................................................ 79

Attachment B. Frontline Employee Survey ................................................................................................... 81
1. Introduction
Each year Cherriots performs a needs assessment to determine unmet transit needs in the region. In order to determine needs, staff assesses current system performance, demographic shifts, and travel pattern data, then gathers input from current riders, community partners, and frontline employees.

From there, staff determine whether Cherriots bus service, other Cherriots services, and public and private transportation services in the region meet all transit needs. For any transit needs determined to be unmet, Cherriots evaluates whether those needs can be met using current or future resources.

1.1 Unmet transit needs
An unmet transit need is any need in the region for additional public transportation services to meet existing basic mobility needs currently not being met through the existing bus service or alternatives services.

Once an unmet transit need is identified, staff will determine if it is reasonable for Cherriots to meet that need using the following criteria:

1. Can be implemented consistent with service design standards.

2. Can be implemented safely and in accordance with local, state, and federal laws and regulations.

3. Excluding the first three years of operation, the proposed service would not fail to meet performance targets.

4. Excluding the first three years of operation, the proposed service would not cause the overall system to fail to meet performance targets.

5. The proposed service would not cost more than the budget allows given available funds.

1.2 Service planning process
When unmet transit needs are determined to be reasonable, Cherriots will incorporate solutions to meet those needs into the plan development process. If there were a typical year, these changes would be incorporated into the annual service change in September 2018. Due to increased funding expected in 2019, this needs assessment will also inform plans for service enhancements in 2019.
2. Existing conditions
In this section are the current services Cherriots offers, as well as performance results from the FY17 Annual Performance Report.

2.1 Cherriots services
Cherriots operates local bus service in the Salem-Keizer area, and also operates other services: Cherriots Regional, Cherriots LIFT, and Cherriots Shop and Ride. In addition to operating service, Cherriots provides travel training, and through the Cherriots Trip Choice program helps connect riders with transportation options including transit, carpool and vanpool, biking, and walking.

2.1.1 Cherriots
Local bus routes serve local streets in the Salem-Keizer area, providing service within the urban growth boundary (Figure 2-1). Additionally, the West Salem Connector provides on-demand bus service in West Salem.

2.1.2 Cherriots Regional
Regional express routes provide commuter express bus service between towns and cities mostly in Marion and Polk counties (Figure 2-2). Additionally, Cherriots provides the Polk County Flex, a origin-to-destination service in Dallas, Monmouth, and Independence.

2.1.3 Cherriots LIFT
Origin-to-destination paratransit service provides rides to those who are unable to access regular bus service. LIFT serves the Salem-Keizer urban growth boundary. Riders must be found eligible and trips must be scheduled in advance.

2.1.4 Cherriots Shop and Ride
Shop and Ride includes both a shopper shuttle and origin-to-destination service for seniors and individuals with disabilities. This service operates throughout the Salem-Keizer urban growth boundary and trips must be scheduled in advance.
Figure 2-1. Current local bus route map
Figure 2-2. Current regional bus route map
2.2 Performance
It is difficult to evaluate performance on the route level using data from the entire fiscal year due to seasonal fluctuations and triennial service changes. As a result, staff have created route snapshots to measure performance using data from April 2017.

*Note: Staff have not included contracted regional routes because in April 2017 the commuter express routes were not yet in service.*

2.2.1 Revenue hours, revenue miles, and boardings
In Table 1 below are the revenue hours, revenue miles, and boardings for each route.

**Table 2-1.** Daily Averages by Route

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Daily Averages</th>
<th>Revenue Hours</th>
<th>Revenue Miles</th>
<th>Boardings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1X Wilsonville / Salem Express</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>319.9</td>
<td>150.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Market / Brown</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>683.8</td>
<td>1,331.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2X Grand Ronde / Salem Express</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>524.3</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Portland Road</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>351.4</td>
<td>745.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 State Street</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>150.9</td>
<td>305.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Center Street</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>648.7</td>
<td>1,143.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Mission / Fairview Industrial</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>340.9</td>
<td>274.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Mission / Hawthorne</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>205.0</td>
<td>290.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 12th / Liberty via Red Leaf</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>308.7</td>
<td>440.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Cherry / River Road</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>455.3</td>
<td>471.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Lancaster / Verda</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>1,176.1</td>
<td>2,148.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Haysville Drive</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>159.9</td>
<td>86.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Silverton Road</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>291.7</td>
<td>459.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Windsor Island</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>226.7</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15X Airport Rd Park &amp; Ride Express</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>208.0</td>
<td>135.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Wallace Road</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>110.6</td>
<td>129.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Edgewater / Gerth</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>464.1</td>
<td>636.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 12th / Liberty via Lone Oak</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>313.7</td>
<td>392.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Broadway / River Road</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>701.3</td>
<td>1,418.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 South Commercial</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>682.4</td>
<td>1,362.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Library Loop</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Lansing / Hawthorne</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>193.0</td>
<td>131.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 State / Lancaster</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>177.6</td>
<td>291.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSC West Salem Connector</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>192.7</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Activity (ons and offs) by bus stop from the May 2017 NTD ride check are displayed in Figure 2-3.
Figure 2-3. Average daily bus stop activity (ons and offs)

Source: May 2017 NTD Ride Check
2.2.2 Productivity
Cherriots uses boardings per revenue hour in April 2017 to measure a route’s productivity. Routes are listed in Table 2-2 by route type. Each route type has a target boardings per revenue hours, and all routes not meeting their target are highlighted in red.

Table 2-2. Boardings per revenue hour by route and route type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Boardings / Revenue Hour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CORRIDOR</strong></td>
<td><strong>Target = 20.0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Portland Road</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 South Commercial</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Market / Brown</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Broadway / River Road</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Lancaster / Verda</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Center Street</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 State / Lancaster</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 12th / Liberty via Red Leaf</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Silverton Road</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Mission / Hawthorne</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 12th / Liberty via Lone Oak</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Cherry / River Road</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Edgewater / Gerth</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Mission / Fairview Industrial</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Wallace Road</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15X Airport Rd Park &amp; Ride Express</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEIGHBORHOOD SHUTTLE</strong></td>
<td><strong>Target = 10.0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Library Loop</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Lansing / Hawthorne</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Windsor Island</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Haysville Drive</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSC West Salem Connector</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>REGIONAL EXPRESS</strong></td>
<td><strong>Target = 10.0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1X Wilsonville / Salem Express</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2X Grand Ronde / Salem Express</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2.3 On-time performance

In April 2017, staff measured the end-of-route on-time performance at the Downtown Transit Center and Keizer Transit Center. Staff compared observed arrival times to scheduled arrival times. Buses that arrived up to five minutes after the scheduled arrive time were considered “on time.” Everything else was considered “late.”

The percent of trips that were on time are reported in Table 2-3. Measures are shown by time period and overall. Cells are highlighted in red when a route is not meeting its target for that time period. Targets are 85% on time overall and 75% on time during the PM peak (2-6:59 p.m.).

Table 2-3. End-of-route on-time performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route</th>
<th>End-of-Route On-Time Performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TARGET</strong></td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1X Wilsonville / Salem Express</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Market / Brown</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2X Grand Ronde / Salem Express</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Portland Road</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 State Street</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Center Street</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Mission / Fairview Industrial</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Mission / Hawthorne</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 12th / Liberty via Red Leaf</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Cherry / River Road</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Lancaster / Verda</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Haysville Drive</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Silverton Road</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Windsor Island</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15X Airport Rd Park &amp; Ride Express</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Wallace Road</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Edgewater / Gerth</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 12th / Liberty via Lone Oak</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Broadway / River Road</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 South Commercial</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Library Loop</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Lansing / Hawthorne</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 State / Lancaster</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OVERALL SYSTEM**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AM</th>
<th>Mid</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>Eve</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>93%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Changes have already been made or are planned to improve on-time performance for Routes 7, 9, 11, and 23.
3. Demographics and travel patterns

To determine where people are most likely to ride bus service, staff examine population and jobs data from the American Community Survey and the Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program. Staff also look at groups that are most likely to need access to transit. The below figures are on the follow pages:

- **Population and jobs** (Figures 3-1 and 3-2)
- **Population** (Figures 3-3 and 3-4)
- **Jobs** (Figures 3-5 and 3-6)
- **Poverty** (Figures 3-7 and 3-8)
- **Minorities** (Figures 3-9 and 3-10)
- **Car free** (Figures 3-11 and 3-12)
- **Seniors** (Figures 3-13 and 3-14)
- **Youth** (Figures 3-15 and 3-16)
- **Disabled** (Figures 3-17 and 3-18)
- **Limited English** (Figures 3-19 and 3-20)

Staff also evaluate origin-destination travel patterns for work trips and non-work trips:

- **Work/school trips in Salem area** (Figures 3-21 and 3-22)
- **Non-work/school trips in Salem area** (Figures 3-23 and 3-24)
- **Regional commuting patterns for Salem region** (Figure 3-25)
- **Regional commuting patterns for North Willamette Valley** (Figure 3-26)

And finally, a list of recent and upcoming changes to businesses and developments is provided.
Look on pages 25 and 26 to see where seniors are concentrated in the Salem area, as well as in Marion and Polk counties.
3.1 Population and jobs

Figure 3-1. Population and jobs in the Salem area

Source: American Community Survey 2015 and LEHD 2014 via Remix
**Figure 3-2.** Population and jobs in Marion and Polk counties

*Source:* American Community Survey 2015 and LEHD 2014 via Remix
3.2 Population

*Figure 3-3.* Population in the Salem area

*Source:* American Community Survey 2015 via Remix
Figure 3-4. Population in Marion and Polk counties

Source: American Community Survey 2015 via Remix
3.3 Jobs

*Figure 3-5.* Jobs in the Salem area

*Source:* LEHD 2014 via Remix
Figure 3-6. Jobs in Marion and Polk counties

Source: LEHD 2014 via Remix
3.4 Poverty

**Figure 3-7.** Poverty in the Salem area

*Source:* American Community Survey 2015 via Remix
**Figure 3-8.** Poverty in Marion and Polk counties

*Source:* American Community Survey 2015 via Remix
3.5 Minorities

Figure 3-9. Minorities in the Salem area

Source: American Community Survey 2015 via Remix
**Figure 3-10.** Minorities in Marion and Polk counties

*Source:* American Community Survey 2015 via Remix
3.6 Car free

Figure 3-11. Car free in the Salem area

Source: American Community Survey 2015 via Remix
**Figure 3-12.** Car free in Marion and Polk counties

*Source:* American Community Survey 2015 via Remix
3.7 Seniors

Figure 3-13. Seniors in the Salem area

Source: American Community Survey 2015 via Remix
Figure 3-14. Seniors in Marion and Polk counties

Source: American Community Survey 2015 via Remix
3.8 Youth

Figure 3-15. Youth in the Salem area

Source: American Community Survey 2015 via Remix
Figure 3-16. Youth in Marion and Polk counties

Source: American Community Survey 2015 via Remix
3.9 Disabled

Figure 3-17. People with disabilities in the Salem area

Source: American Community Survey 2015 via Remix
Figure 3-18. People with disabilities in Marion and Polk counties

Source: American Community Survey 2015 via Remix
3.10 Limited English

*Figure 3-19.* People who speak limited English in the Salem area

Source: American Community Survey 2015 via Remix
Figure 3-20. People who speak limited English in Marion and Polk counties

Source: American Community Survey 2015 via Remix
3.11 Origin-destination travel patterns

Figure 3-21. Origin-destination travel patterns for work / school trips in the Salem area

Source: SKATS Travel Demand Model for Base Year 2009
Figure 3-22. Origin-destination travel patterns for non-work/school trips in Salem area

Source: SKATS Travel Demand Model for Base Year 2009
Figure 3-23. Regional commuting patterns for Salem region

Source: LEHD LODES 2010 via Jarrett Walker & Associates
Figure 3-24. Regional commuting patterns for North Willamette Valley

Source: LEHD 2014 via Jarrett Walker & Associates
3.12 Changing businesses and development

Not captured in the latest LEHD data are most recent changes to major businesses, as well as recent and planned developments.

3.12.1 Changes in the past year

- **Norpac Cannery** on Madrona closed in summer 2017 (Route 6).
- **Kmart** closed in fall 2017 (Routes 6 and 7).
- **Oregon State Police** moved from Portland Road and Northgate Avenue (Route 3) to Trelstad Avenue and 36th Avenue (Route 6).
- **Henningsen Cold Storage** opened a new facility at 4301 Henningsen Court SE off of Kuebler Boulevard.
- **Cordon Road Mini Storage** opened at 5053 Gaffin Road SE. New apartments are planned for this area as well.
- **The Peter Courtney Minto Island Bicycle and Pedestrian Bridge** opened in summer 2017, connecting Minto-Brown Island Park with Riverfront Park.

3.12.2 Planned changes

- **Amazon** is opening a packing and shipping center at 4775 Depot Court SE in the Mill Creek Corporate Center (Route 24). The center will open in 2018 and employ 1,000 people. There are also additional developments planned in the nearby vicinity.
- **Waremart by WinCo**, a neighborhood grocery store, is opening in late 2017 at 5450 River Road N in Keizer, OR.
- **Marion County Health Department Alcohol and Drug Treatment** is moving from Davcor St. SE to Silverton Road south of Beach Avenue (Route 13).
- **New retail space** is being developed at the intersection of Glen Creek Road and Wallace Road in West Salem (Routes 16 and 17).
The Peter Courtney Minto Island Bicycle and Pedestrian Bridge opened in 2017.
4. Rider and community engagement

In November 2017, Cherriots staff surveyed riders and the greater community to help determine transit needs. Staff created web and print versions of the survey both in English and Spanish (Attachment A).

The survey was focused on the possibility of adding bus service on Saturdays, Sundays, later evenings, and holidays. Staff also asked riders what else they would change about Cherriots service to make it work better for them.

Strategies staff employed to reach out to riders and the greater community included: email to subscribers, email to partner agencies, Facebook posts, Twitter posts, project webpage, a feature story on the Cherriots homepage, onboard bus survey (local and regional buses), announcements at public meetings, and six tabling events in the Downtown Transit Center lobby.

In total, staff collected 2,852 surveys. Of those collected, 64% (1,814) were submitted online and 36% (1,038) were collected in person.

Marketing Coordinator Stephen Custer helps a Cherriots rider complete a survey at a Downtown Transit Center tabling event. This was one of six events that took place over multiple weeks.
4.1 Saturdays, Sundays, and weekday evenings

Most of the survey focused on service on Saturdays, Sundays, and weekday evenings. Respondents were first asked about their priorities among the three options. They were then asked about the types of trips they would take on each day, as well as when service should start and end.

4.1.1 Order of implementation

When asked their preference for the order of implementation, respondents assigned three points to their first choice, two points to their second, and one to their third.

Respondents overwhelmingly prefer prioritizing the implementation of Saturday service, for an average of 2.7 points. Sunday and weekday evening service were nearly tied for second place, with 1.6 and 1.7 points, respectively.

Figure 4-1. Preferred priority of implementing service

![Figure 4-1](image)

Saturday service was the No. 1 pick for 76% of respondents, followed by 20% for weekday evening service and 9% for Sunday service.

Although Sunday and weekday evenings scored a similar number of points, it is worth noting that 66% of respondents said they would use evening service for work or work-related business (Figure 4-8), compared to only 48% for Sunday service (Figure 4-5).

In the end, there is a clear need for Saturday service to be implemented first. If Sundays and weekday evenings can be implemented together, that would be ideal. If not, weekday evenings have the larger need for work trips.
4.1.2 Saturdays
4.1.2.1 Purpose of trip
Respondents were asked what they would use the bus for on Saturdays (Figure 4-2). More than half of them would ride for shopping (75%), other family / personal errands (64%), going to / from work or work-related business (62%), or social and recreational (59%).

Figure 4-2. Types of trips the community would use the bus for on Saturdays
4.1.2.2 Start and end times
More than half (64%) of respondents believe service should start no later than 7 a.m. on Saturdays (Figure 4-3). Respondents overwhelmingly believe service should run until 11 p.m. (Figure 4-4).

**Figure 4-3.** How early the community wants service to begin on Saturdays

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 a.m.</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 a.m.</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 a.m.</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsure</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*n=2,767

**Figure 4-4.** How late the community wants service to run on Saturdays

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9 p.m.</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 p.m.</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 p.m.</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsure</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*n=2,753*
4.1.3 Sundays
4.1.3.1 Purpose of trip
Respondents were asked what they would use the bus for on Sundays (Figure 4-5). More than half of them would ride for shopping (62%), other family / personal errands (57%), going to church (53%), or social and recreational (59%). Unlike Saturdays and later evenings, just under half of respondents would ride on Sundays to get to / from work or work-related business (48%).

Figure 4-5. Types of trips the community would use the bus for on Sundays
4.1.3.2 Start and end times
Results for Sundays are similar to that of Saturdays. More than half of respondents want service to start no later than 7 a.m. (Figure 4-6). And again, respondents chose the latest option for when trips should end – in this case 9 p.m. (Figure 4-7).

**Figure 4-6.** How early the community wants service to begin on Sundays

![How early the community wants service to begin on Sundays](image)

**Figure 4-7.** How late the community wants service to run on Sundays

![How late the community wants service to run on Sundays](image)
4.1.4 **Weekday Evenings**

4.1.4.1 Purpose of trip

Respondents were asked what they would use the bus for if service were extended later into the evenings on weekdays. More than half of them would ride for going to/from work or work-related business (66%), social and recreational (57%), other family/personal errands (56%), or shopping (56%). Weekday evenings had the highest rate of riders who would ride the bus for work.

*Figure 4-8.* Types of trips the community would use the bus for on weekday evenings

![Diagram showing the distribution of trips during weekday evenings. The purpose of trips include: Going to/from work or work-related business (66%), Shopping (56%), Other family/personal errands (56%), School (24%), Church (17%), Social and recreational (57%), Other (21%), Unsure (4%), and I would not ride (6%).]
4.1.4.2 End time
When asked how late bus service should be extended on weekdays, riders strongly supported buses running until 11 p.m. (60%). Only 10% thought service should continue to end at 9 p.m. (Figure 4-9).

*Figure 4-9.* How late the community wants service to run on weekdays
4.2 Holidays

Figure 4-10 below shows the percent of respondents who said they would ride the bus on each holiday. Today Cherriots does not offer service on any of these days.

**Figure 4-10.** Which holidays community members would ride the bus

More than half of respondents said they would ride the bus on Presidents Day, (72%) Veterans Day (72%), Memorial Day (66%), Labor Day (65%), Independence Day (62%), and New Year’s Day (52%). Just under half said they would ride on Thanksgiving Day (45%), Easter (44%), and Christmas Day (39%).

The results indicate a desire for service on every day of the year, with higher levels of service on days where riders typically are still required to work.
4.3 Frequency versus coverage

While discussing what else they would change about Cherriots service, 512 respondents brought up route frequency or coverage service. Of those who discussed one or the other, 66% (342) asked for more frequency on the current routes, and 34% (174) wanted expanded coverage on streets and in neighborhoods not currently served by transit.

**Figure 4-11.** Frequency-to-coverage ratio for survey responses

The frequency-to-coverage ratio is important to keep in mind, as the Cherriots Board of Directors has a policy requiring staff to maintain the balance of productivity-focused service and coverage-focused service to a 3-to-1 ratio (i.e. 75% to 25%). In other words, for every revenue hour invested in new coverage service, staff must add about three revenue hours of productivity-focused service.
4.3.1 Frequency

When asked what they would change about current service, hundreds of riders listed changes they would make to specific routes. All routes where calls for increased frequency accounted for more than half of the requests are listed in Table 4-1.

Table 4-1. Requests for increased frequency by route

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Share of Responses</th>
<th>Total Requests for More Frequency</th>
<th>All Route-Specific</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 Mission / Fairview Industrial</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 State / Lancaster</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Haysville Drive</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Mission / Hawthorne</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10X Woodburn / Salem Express</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1X Wilsonville / Salem Express</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Lansing / Hawthorne</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50X Dallas / Salem Express</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 12th / Liberty via Red Leaf</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Windsor Island</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Wallace Road</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40X Polk County / Salem Express</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 12th / Liberty via Lone Oak</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30X Santiam / Salem Express</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Portland Road</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hourly Service

Route 6, which runs once an hour, by far saw the most requests for increases in frequency (23). More frequency was requested by 88% of those who specifically called out Route 6. Many of the respondents specifically called out wanting more service to Salem Health. There were also calls for more service on Fairview Industrial Way. Other hourly routes that received requests for increases in frequency include routes 24, 12, 7, 23, and 16. There's a general consensus among survey respondents that hourly service on weekdays is not good enough.

30-Minute Service

There were two routes with 30-minute service that had numerous calls for increases to 15-minute service on weekdays: 8-18 and 3.

Regional Express Routes

Riders requested increased route trips on almost all regional express routes. In particular, riders want more trip times during peak commute times.
4.3.2 Coverage

Many respondents (174) requested additional service on streets and in neighborhoods that do not currently have bus service. Staff organized those requests into tiers below.

Table 4-2. Requests for new coverage service

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Responses</th>
<th>Share of All New Coverage Requests</th>
<th>Total Requests for More Coverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TIER 1 (10 or greater requests)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Salem</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D Street</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turner Road</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher Road</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River Road S</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TIER 2 (5-9 requests)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Salem</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordon Road</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Circulator</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGilchrist</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TIER 3 (2-4 requests)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th Street</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17th Street</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auburn-Monroe</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pringle-Battle Creek</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Road Park and Ride</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingwood West / Capital Manor</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan City</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunnyside Road</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intercity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albany</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coast</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corvallis</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The most common requests were for new service throughout West Salem (much of which will go into effect in January 2018), as well as service on D Street, Turner Road (mostly Walmart), Fisher Road, and River Road S.
4.4 Other topics
Below are other topics brought up in the open-ended question about what else Cherriots can do to improve service.

4.4.1 Weekends (159)
Even though weekend service was one of the primary focuses of the survey, there were still 159 respondents who chose to bring the importance of weekend service up again in their open-ended response.

As we have seen during all outreach conducted over the years since Saturday bus service was discontinued, the need for bus service on weekends is by far the most pressing need in the Salem area and the larger region.

4.4.2 Weekday evenings (103)
As with weekend service, weekday evening service was also named more than a hundred times when riders were asked what else they would change about Cherriots service, even though they had already answered questions about it.

This level of response highlights the additional need for later bus service on weekday evenings.

4.4.3 Shelters (81)
Riders want more shelters at bus stops to protect them from the elements. In most cases respondents did not call out specific places where they would like to see shelters. Instead, they either advocated for more shelters in general or shelters at every bus stop.

4.4.4 Operational concerns (75)
Many riders brought up operational concerns. All concerns have been passed on to the Transportation Manager and the Chief Operating Officer. They include:

4.4.4.1 Operator friendliness
Riders were split on the current level of friendliness of operators. Of those who brought up operator attitudes, about half want operators to be friendlier and provide better customer service, and the other half called out how wonderful Cherriots operators are.

4.4.4.2 Disrespectful riders and rule enforcement
Eight riders brought up issues with other people on the bus being loud or using foul language, and those issues not being dealt with by operators. One person gave an
example of how she has seen TriMet operators politely but firmly deal with poor rider behavior, and suggests we should train our operators to deal with rider behavior in the same manner.

4.4.4.3 Strollers
A common issue that came up is the amount of room strollers take up at the front of the bus. Often strollers are blocking aisles or taking up room that seniors or riders with disabilities wish to use.

4.4.4.4 Allowing food and drinks
Four respondents asked for food and drinks to be allowed on the bus. In particular, riders wish to be able to bring drinks in soft-sided cups on the bus.

4.4.4.5 Late departures
Three respondents brought up issues with their operators not being ready to depart from the Downtown Transit Center on time. In one case, it is because the operator is arriving late from the yard. In the other instances, riders pointed to the common occurrence of operators spending time in the break room and not coming out to their bus until a minute before it is scheduled to depart, or even at the scheduled departure time.

4.4.5 Fares and eFare (60)
Sixty respondents had ideas about improving our fares or fare structure. A few ideas came up consistently:

1. There is a need to lower fares for low-income riders.
2. Let students / youth ride for free, to help those students and their families.
3. Get more employers to buy a Group Pass for their employees, including local businesses, colleges, and the State of Oregon.
4. Fares should be in increments of $1 or $0.25, not $0.10.
5. It is not fair to refer to a 30-day pass as such because Cherriots does not run seven days a week.

Additionally, there were 19 requests for eFare (ticket app, contactless payments, etc.). Those who brought this up expressed a need for more options to pay other than cash or having to go to only a few locations to purchase day and 30-day passes.

All fare change ideas will be considered during the ongoing fare analysis process.
4.4.6 **Bus stop locations and density** (42)
There were many requests for new bus stops to be added or moved in particular locations. Those requests will be passed on to the Service Excellence Committee for their consideration.

Additionally, some riders called for more bus stops along the route so they do not need to walk as far. Others called for fewer bus stops along the route so the bus did not need to stop as often.

4.4.7 **Communication** (39)
Thirty-nine respondents offered ideas for improving communication and rider materials. Ideas include more availability of schedules at grocery stores and medical offices, adding more clarity to bus announcements about transfer routes, making bus stops more visible, and improving information at bus stops. All communication-related ideas have been passed on to the Director of Communication and Marketing Coordinators for their consideration.

4.4.8 **Easier transfers and crosstown routes** (37)
Another big concern is difficulty transferring between routes in a few parts of the system. Also, some riders wish to avoid transfers altogether, and in some cases avoid having to travel through downtown Salem to get to their destination.

4.4.8.1 Better transfers
- Better facilities at Chemeketa Community College to allow all buses to park next to one another, in particular Route 13.
- Timed connections between Routes 6 and 21, particularly after 7 p.m. when Route 21 drops to 30-minute service.
- Better timed connections between Route 11 and Routes 4, 7, and 24 at State and Lancaster.
- YCTA 11 to Amity and McMinnville coming to the Downtown Transit Center instead of having to transfer at the West Salem Transit Center.
- Better timing between Routes 8 and 18 and the services at Amtrak and Greyhound stations.

4.4.8.2 Crosstown routes
- Direct service between South Salem and Keizer without having to transfer downtown.
- Direct service between West Salem and Lancaster.
- Direct service between West Salem and Keizer.
4.4.9 On-time performance (34)
Poor on-time performance was mentioned by 34 respondents. Eleven of those people brought up specific routes. The only routes mentioned more than once were Route 7 (mentioned twice) and Route 11 (mentioned four times).

4.4.10 Seating (31)
Often coupled with requests for shelters, many people asked for more seating at bus stops. As with requests for more shelters, respondents did not typically call out where specifically they would like to see more seats. Most just asked for more seating in general.

4.4.11 Holidays (24)
Even though there was a question directly asking about which holidays respondents would ride on, two dozen people also highlighted the importance of holiday service in the open-ended question.

Most called for holiday service in general. One person highlighted the importance of holidays where local schools and colleges were still open, and another specifically called out the importance of running on Easter, Thanksgiving, and Christmas in order to get to family events.

4.4.12 Earlier weekdays (22)
In addition to wanting bus service to run later on weekdays, 22 respondents requested weekday service start earlier in the morning.

4.4.13 Safety and security (20)
Some riders gave suggestions for how to improve safety and security throughout the system. Ideas included suggestions for bus stop locations and amenities, asking operators to wait for everyone to sit down before they start driving, and for more security on buses.

All safety- and security-related ideas have been passed along to the Safety and Loss Control Specialist and the Security and Emergency Management Manager.

4.4.14 Real-time bus tracking (20)
The need to be able to track buses in real-time was brought up by 20 respondents. Riders want to know when the next bus is arriving and if their bus is running late.

Respondents want real-time information available on the Cherriots website and on the Transit App (or a dedicated app). They also want to be able to text a number to
see when the next bus is arriving. One asked for the information to be available on
digital screens in shelters.

4.4.15 Accessibility (17)
Concerns related to accessibility came up 17 times. Ideas included making bus stop
improvements to upgrade stops to include ADA-accessible landing pads, introducing
dial-a-ride service in the towns and cities in Marion and Polk counties, adding more
room on buses for riders with service animals, training operators to remind those who
are deaf or blind when to exit the bus, letting those who are elderly or handicapped sit
down before driving forward, and making better connections between routes and
adding more coverage service to reduce the distance needed to walk.

4.4.16 Comfort (13)
A number respondents brought up ways in which Cherriots could make their ride
more comfortable. Ideas include:

- Making sure buses are cleaner, in particular the seats.
- Turn down the heat on the buses slightly in the winter so riders do not need to
  remove their coats.
- Ask operators to enforce rules regarding loud music, loud phone conversations,
  and vulgar language.
- Opening the Downtown Transit Center lobby earlier in the morning and keeping
  it open later in the evening so riders do not have to wait outside in the
  elements.

4.4.17 Cherriots LIFT and Cherriots Shop and Ride (9)
There were a few comments about Cherriots LIFT and Cherriots Shop and Ride, but no
trends arose about these services. All comments have been passed on to the
Contracted Services Manager and Chief Operating Officer.

4.4.18 Faster service (8)
A few riders believe our buses are too slow in general. Others compare travel times on
the buses (including transfers) to that of driving, and believe Cherriots bus service
cannot compete with driving a car.

4.4.19 Smaller buses (7)
Seven respondents want Cherriots to use smaller buses on routes that have
historically low ridership. They typically believe the use of smaller buses will be more
economical.
Riders were surveyed at the Downtown Transit Center and on Cherriots buses.
5. Frontline employee engagement

While surveying riders and the greater community, staff also surveyed all frontline employees (Attachment B)—those who directly interact with riders, including:

- **In-house employees**
  - Transit Operator
  - Operations Supervisor
  - Customer Service Representative
  - Travel Trainer
  - Outreach Representative
  - Receptionist

- **Contracted employees**
  - Transit Operator (MV Transportation)
  - Road Supervisor / Dispatcher (MV Transportation)
  - Transit Host (Garten)
  - Security Officer (G4S)
  - Mobility Assessor (MTM)
  - Call Center Employee (MTM)

In total, staff received 38 surveys. Hearing from frontline employees is a critical step of the needs assessment process. They interact with Cherriots riders on a daily basis and can provide critical on-the-ground context to performance data results.
5.1 What employees have heard from riders

5.1.1 Bus service

- Add weekend service
- Extend weekday evening service
- Add more frequency on current routes
  - Route 10X
- Add more coverage
  - D Street
  - Turner road
  - College Drive NW
  - Woodburn to Keizer Transit Center
  - Service between Marion County Correctional Facility and South Commercial
- Add more shelters
- Add more benches
- Stop buses from leaving Downtown Transit Center before scheduled departures
- Improve on-time performance
- Post timetables at bus stops
- Post fare information on buses
- Add holiday service
- Make service free between downtown Salem and West Salem
- Implement eFare
- Add more travel training
- Lighted shelters

5.1.2 Demand-responsive services

- Add weekend service
- Add more same day trip change flexibility
- Improve on-time performance of LIFT
- Shorter call wait times
- More courteous operators needed
- More pleasant dispatchers needed
- Do not change company name (to Cherriots)
- Return dial-a-ride services to Silverton and Stayton
- Allow riders to choose between MV1s and other vehicles
- Add more Cherriots Shop and Ride service
5.2 How to set employees up for success

5.2.1 Bus service

- Purchase new buses
- Ensure a minimum of 15 minutes of deadhead to and from Del Webb and the Downtown Transit Center
- Allow operators time to do pre-trip (in-house and contracted)
- Purchase better fareboxes
- Get ergonomic seats for operators
- Move some layover time at the west end of Route 17 to runtime going eastbound
- Develop seasonal schedules
- Give operators more breaks
- More straight runs
- Security on buses
- Clean buses more often
- Paint “bus only” at entrances to Downtown Transit Center so automobile drivers do not enter the transit center
- Provide operator training for terrorist incidents and irate customers

5.2.2 Demand-responsive services

- Fully staff call center
- Fully staff operators
- Give operators customer service training and make service in general more driven toward customer service instead of about convenience
- Make pickup windows larger
- Provide individual training on technology
- Make Cherriots Shop and Ride easier to understand
5.3 How to spend additional funds

5.3.1 Bus service
- Add weekend bus service
- Extend weekday evening service
- Provide more weekday frequency
  - Routes 40X and 50X
- Provide service on holidays
- Serve more towns
  - Albany
- Connect with other transit districts
- Create downtown circulator
- Run Route 6 every 30 minutes
- Bring back park and ride routes
- Lower bus fare
- Create low-income fare
- Create family pass
- Make service free for seniors and people with disabilities
- Create eFare solution
- Provide real-time tracking of buses
- Add more shelters
- Advertise at elder care and nursing homes
- Provide service sooner than 2019

5.3.2 Demand-responsive services
- Add weekend bus service
- Extend weekday evening service
- Allow for same-day bookings
- Add more Cherriots Shop and Ride service
- Buy more vehicles
- Extend call center hours
5.4 Anything else

5.4.1 General

- Remodel public restrooms

5.4.2 Bus service

- Move Route 11 back to its old path between Chemeketa Community College and Keizer Transit Center – taking Portland Road and Chemawa; provide only 30-minute service on Hyacinth and Verda; those streets do not have the ridership to justify 15-minute service
- Give Route 11 a different name north of Chemeketa Community College; will avoid confusion when riders board at CCC
- Run Route 11 to Marion County Correctional Facility every 30 minutes; eliminate Route 24 and use that bus to run Route 4 every hour; take Route 7 back down its former path – serving Turner and Fairview Industrial
- Drop Route 17 frequency to every 30 minutes; ridership does not justify 15-minute service
- Split Routes 6 and 16 and keep Route 16 in West Salem; have people transfer to Route 17 at West Salem Transit Center to get to downtown Salem
- Move Route 9 from Broadway to Liberty Street N to provide more coverage
- Shorten Route 9 and cover the Parkmeadow loop with Route 14
- Drop Route 14 to hourly service
- Add more frequency to Route 23
- Create East Salem Transit Center
- Create a Salem Library shuttle instead of using Route 13
- Allow riders to pay with eFare
- Provide outlets in the Downtown Transit Center for charging phones
- Add more space for wheelchairs in the buses
- Add more cameras
- Extend customer service hours in the evening
- Partner with local businesses

5.4.3 Demand-responsive services

- Provide operators with addresses instead of location names
- Text riders reminders in advance of their scheduled trips
- Have operators assist a little more when needed
Cherriots transit operators are one example of frontlines employees.
6. Unmet transit needs
After evaluating performance, demographics, travel patterns, and input from riders, the community, and frontline employees, staff have determined the current unmet transit needs in Marion and Polk counties.

6.1 Saturday service
The Salem area has been without Saturday bus service since 2009. Saturday service is by far the most pressing need for both local and regional bus service, as well as for Cherriots LIFT. This was not just evident in the most recent round of public outreach, but in all public outreach over the past eight years.

   **Recommendation:** With increased funding in 2019, Saturday local bus and LIFT service should be added with a minimum hours of service from 7 a.m. until 11 p.m. Saturday service should also be implemented for regional bus routes.

6.2 Extended weekday evening service
Today most Cherriots weekdays service ends just after 9 p.m. Although these hours of service work for most 9-to-5 riders, it does not work for those working nontraditional hours. There is a strong desire for extended hours on weekdays, especially for those who are trying to get home from work.

Even though ridership is not expected to be high after 9 p.m., giving riders certainty they will be able to get home on the bus, even if they stay at work late or attend an evening function, should make them more willing to rely on Cherriots in general. This, in turn, should boost ridership during the midday and the AM and PM peaks.

   **Recommendation:** Weekday service on the local bus system and LIFT should be extended to 11 p.m. on all routes that merit it. If possible, weekday service should be extended at the same time Sunday service is added. If that is not possible, service should be extended on weekday evenings first.
6.3 Sunday service
Another pressing need is Sunday bus service. Of all survey respondents, only 6% said they would not ride on Sundays. As with evening service, ridership on Sundays will likely be much lower than a typical weekday. However, having seven-day-a-week service is vital for those who do not have access to a car, and it will make it easier for those who currently drive to consider becoming a one car or no car household.

**Recommendation:** With increased funding in 2019, Sunday local bus and LIFT service should be added with a minimum hours of service of 7 a.m. until 9 p.m. Sunday service should also be implemented for regional bus routes.

6.4 Holiday service
Cherriots currently does not operate on eight holidays. The most pressing need is to provide holiday service on days where most people still work, including Presidents Day and Veterans Day. There are also a high number of people asking for service on Memorial Day, Independence Day, and Labor Day.

There is less of a need for bus service on New Year’s Day, Thanksgiving, Easter, and Christmas Day. However, the need for service on those days is still present.

**Recommendation:** Add bus (local and regional) and LIFT service on all holidays. Even though ridership will be lower on some of these holidays, the need is there for those without other options. Also, being able to market that Cherriots runs every day (in conjunction with Saturday and Sunday service) will be critical to convince those who do not currently ride that Cherriots is something they can rely on.

For local and regional bus service, consider having multiple levels of service depending on expected ridership for each day.
6.5 Increased weekday frequency

There are a few routes (or pieces of routes) where there is a need for increased weekday frequency.

**Recommendation:** For every three revenue hours of service added to increase route frequency, about one revenue hour should be used to serve a current or new coverage route. This is a Board policy.

6.5.1 Salem Health, Mission from DTC to 25th, and Fairview Industrial

The route with the most requests for increased weekday frequency is Route 6. There are a high number of boardings at the Salem Health and along Mission Street from the Downtown Transit Center to 25th Street. There are also a few stops along Fairview Industrial Boulevard with high numbers of boardings.

**Recommendation:** When resources are available, find a way to provide 30-minute weekday bus service to the three segments listed above. This could be accomplished by redesigning current routes and focusing 30-minute frequency to Salem Health, along Mission Street, and along Fairview Ind.

6.5.2 Shared path of Routes 8 and 18, and all of Route 8

Routes 8 and 18 are just under their targets of 20 boardings per revenue hour. However, the sections where they have a shared path and form a 30-minute corridor (12th, Pringle, Madrona, Liberty, and Skyline) are above the target.

Where Routes 8 and 18 split in South Salem and provide only hourly service, the section of Route 8 (Red Leaf, Davis, and Liberty) is significantly more productive than that of Route 18 (Lone Oak, Idylwood, Sunnyside).

**Recommendation:** When resources are available, consider increasing the shared path of Routes 8 and 18 to 15-minute service. Also consider removing the Route 18 branch in South Salem (Lone Oak, Idylwood, Sunnyside) due to low ridership and instead increasing the frequency on the Route 8 branch (Red Leaf, Davis, and Liberty), where there is both higher ridership and a higher potential for ridership.
6.5.3 Lancaster between Rickey and MCCF
Ridership along Lancaster between Rickey and the Marion County Correctional Facility is relatively higher for hourly service. Additionally, Amazon plans to add a facility employing 1,000 people in 2018.

**Recommendation:** Increase service in this corridor to at least 30-minute service, either using Route 24 or by rerouting another route (e.g. Route 11).

6.5.4 AM and PM commute trips on contracted regional express routes
The current trip times on Routes 10X-50X are focused on spreading limited trips evenly throughout the day. As a result, the trip times do not work for most commuters. Riders only have one traditional commute time option for each route.

**Recommendation:** With new funding in 2019, add more AM and PM trips to provide more commute time options for contracted regional express routes. Purchase additional vehicles to make this feasible.

6.5.5 Midday trips on Route 1X
Today Route 1X operates only during the AM and PM peaks. This makes it difficult to travel between Salem and Wilsonville for those who do not work typically 9-to-5 jobs, and for those who do but need to head home early.

**Recommendation:** With new funding in 2019, work with SMART to add midday trips to Route 1X. This may require purchasing additional vehicles.
6.6 Expanded coverage
There are a number of places throughout the Salem area where riders have requested restored or new coverage service.

**Recommendation:** For every one revenue hour of service used to serve a current or new coverage route, about three revenue hours should be added to increase frequency on a ridership-focused route, as required by Board policy.

### 6.6.1 West Salem
There were a high number of requests for more bus service in West Salem. Many of the places riders want regular bus service will be served starting January 2018 with the rerouted Route 16 and the new Routes 26 and 27.

However, there have been other requests for service in Salemtowne and north to Michigan City Lane. There have also been requests for service farther west on Glen Creek Road, as well as to College Drive.

**Recommendation:** Hold off on any more expanded coverage in West Salem until the new routes can be evaluated. Consider adding more coverage in next year’s annual service evaluation.

### 6.6.2 D Street
D Street is one of the corridors where Cherriots removed service in 2015. This was due to its proximity to 15-minute frequency service along Center and Market streets.

Even with high-quality service within a quarter mile (5 minute walk), there have been requests for restored service along D Street.

**Recommendation:** Restoring service to D Street would be at odds with Cherriots design standards. However, if part of D Street can be served without adding new resources (e.g. rerouting Route 23), this should be considered. If serving D Street will require the addition of a bus, it should not be considered at this time due to its proximity to service along Center and Market streets. Instead, those resources should be put toward adding new service in a place that does not have good access to alternative routes.
6.6.3 Walmart on Turner Road
In 2015, local bus service was removed from the Walmart along Turner Road. Ridership at the Walmart was high enough to justify having service. However, due to its location and the limited routing options to serve Walmart and turn the bus around, planning staff was not able to maintain service directly to this location. Instead, riders wishing to go to Walmart have to take Route 7 (which runs once an hour) to Hawthorne Avenue and walk half a mile (10 minutes) to Walmart.

**Recommendation:** If possible, service should be routed closer to Walmart on Turner Road. Note that this might require turning a bus around in Walmart’s parking lot.

6.6.4 Fisher Road
Bus service was removed from Fisher Road in 2015. Fisher Road is only a quarter mile (5 minute walk) from Lancaster Drive, which has frequent service every 15 minutes. Also, Fisher Road is a difficult road to serve due to the lack of sidewalks. However, because of the high density of residential units along Fisher Road between Sunnyview Road and Ward Drive, the potential for ridership in this corridor is relatively high.

**Recommendation:** Service on Fisher Road should not be considered at this time due to lack of sidewalks.

6.6.5 River Road S
As new medical facilities and other commercial development come online along River Road S, demand for bus service in this area is likely to grow.

**Recommendation:** There are currently not enough resources to consider service on River Road S. However, this should be monitored in the coming years and considered for service as more resources are available.

6.6.6 South Salem
There is a need for more coverage service in South Salem. However, this area is difficult to serve without having as a base of service a South Salem Transit Center.

**Recommendation:** Cherriots should continue to work on plans for the new South Salem Transit Center. Once the facility is active, planning staff should reroute service in South Salem based out of the South Salem Transit Center to provide better coverage and to facilitate transfers.
6.7 Additional cities
A few cities were mentioned as possible places for expanded bus service.

6.7.1 Portland
Ten survey respondents mentioned Portland as a place they want to see Cherriots serve. There is currently bus and rail service between Salem and Portland, but it is operated by POINT and Amtrak, not Cherriots, and tickets are often $16 each way.

The need for service between Salem and Portland was established in the 2013 Long-Range Regional Plan.

Recommendation: In the short term, promote the POINT and Amtrak service between Salem and Portland on the Cherriots website and at the Downtown Transit Center to help inform riders of the service that already exists.

In the long term, explore partnering with TriMet or SMART to provide service between Salem and Portland. Consider applying for intercity funds from HB 2017.

6.7.2 Albany
Six survey respondents mentioned Albany as a place they want to see Cherriots serve. As with Portland, there is currently bus and rail service between Salem and Albany, but it is not operated by Cherriots and tickets can be expensive. Albany has a sizeable population and there are a high number of trips between Salem and Albany, making it a good candidate for new intercity service.

Recommendation: In the short term, promote the POINT and Amtrak service between Salem and Albany on the Cherriots website and at the Downtown Transit Center to help inform riders of the service that already exists.

In the long term, explore partnering with the Albany Transit System to provide service between Salem and Albany. Consider applying for intercity funds from Oregon House Bill 2017.
6.8 Improved timing and transfers

6.8.1 Routes 6 and 16
Routes 6 and 16 are the only hourly routes based out of the Downtown Transit Center that do not leave and arrive at the bottom-of-the-hour or top-of-the-hour pulse. The reasons for this have to do with the length of each route and the fact that they are interlined.

Route 6 leaves the Downtown Transit Center at the top of the hour, and along with Route 7 forms a 30-minute corridor along Mission Street in the outbound direction. However, due to its length it does not pulse on the inbound direction. As a result, Mission Street in the inbound direction sees gaps in bus service as large as 50 minutes.

Route 16 does not leave downtown on any pulse, and is only sometimes timed to successfully meet the top-of-the-hour pulse on its inbound trip.

**Recommendation:** Revenue hours should be added to Routes 6 and 16 to allow these routes to be split and properly timed with other hourly routes.

6.8.2 Chemeketa Transit Center
The bus stop at Chemeketa Community College (CCC) Building 2 is the second most used bus stop in the Cherriots system, after the Downtown Transit Center, and acts as the eastern hub for Cherriots. In 2015, Cherriots increased the number of buses serving CCC Building 2 to 17 buses per hour on the local system, in addition to the two regional bus routes serving the facility multiple times a day. This increase in service led to issues with crowding in front of CCC Building 2 due to the limited number of bus bays.

To address the crowding, planning staff rerouted Routes 3, 12, and 13 in 2016. Although crowding is no longer an issue in front of CCC Building 2, it is now more difficult for many riders to transfer between bus routes on CCC's campus.

**Recommendation:** In the short term, Cherriots should explore rerouting Routes 12 and 13 to make transferring easier. If possible, Routes 12 and 13 should form a pulse at CCC to further facilitate transfers. Cherriots should also open a dialogue with Chemeketa Community College to partner together to develop a formal transit center on campus with a minimum of eight bus bays. The Chemeketa Transit Center should be designed to be both a primary destination and a transfer facility.
6.8.3 South Salem Transit Center
Riders currently find it difficult to transfer between Routes 6, 8, 18, and 21 in South Salem. The loop at the southern end of Route 6 is not timed with Route 21 after 7 p.m. because its schedule is based on the pulse at the Downtown Transit Center. Also, Routes 8 and 18 serve a different bus stop than Routes 6 and 21 near the Walmart on Commercial, making the transfer difficult.

The South Salem Transit Center being planned for the Walmart parking lot on Commercial Street should help with these issues.

Recommendation: See 6.6.6 South Salem.

6.9 Improved on-time performance
According to the latest on-time performance numbers, routes are either not meeting reliability targets overall or in the PM peak are Routes 7, 8, 9, 11, and 23. Staff have already implemented or developed plans to improve the reliability of Routes 7, 8, 11, and 23. Staff have also implemented a stopgap plan to improve Route 9 on-time performance by interlining it with Route 17, but it will need a more comprehensive fix to improve reliability in the PM peak.

6.9.1 Improve Route 9 on-time performance
Even with interlining Route 9 with Route 17, Route 9 still is unable to meet its reliability targets in the PM peak. For multiple trips a day, Route 9 is unable to reliably meet the pulse at the Downtown Transit Center, making it difficult for riders to make transfers.

Recommendation: Implement bold solutions to improve the on-time performance of Route 9. Both rerouting service and adding additional run time should be considered.

6.9.2 Implement real-time bus tracking
Riders want the ability to track their buses in real-time on an app, on the Cherriots website, and via text message. Also, a full CAD/AVL system will help operations address reliability issues in real time and will help planning monitor and evaluate on-time performance. CAD/AVL is currently slated to be implemented in 2019.

Recommendation: Continue the process of procuring and implementing CAD/AVL. Integrate real-time bus tracking into Transit App, the Cherriots website, and a text message service.
6.10 More shelters and seating

One of the most requested improvements from riders is the addition of shelters at more bus stops. There are also requests for more seating, mostly in conjunction with requests for more shelters.

6.10.1 More shelters

The Cherriots standard is to place shelters at bus stops that see 20 boardings per day or more. In 2016, boardings at all bus stops throughout the local system were evaluated when new grant-funded shelters were installed throughout the local system. Additionally, more than a dozen bus stops were identified as meeting the threshold for adding a shelter. However, shelters at those locations have not yet been installed due to the need for capital improvements prior to installation.

*Recommendation:* With the recent increases in ridership across the system, as well as the expected increases in ridership with the additional service in 2019, staff should begin evaluating ridership on the stop level on an annual basis. Any bus stops meeting the 20 boardings per day threshold should be evaluated for improvements and a shelter, and staff should seek out grant funding for purchasing, civil work, and installation.

6.10.2 More seating

All Cherriots shelters have seating, so more shelters will lead to more seating as well. However, there are bus stops that do not meet the minimum threshold for a shelter, but could use a bench or pole-mounted seat.

*Recommendation:* Cherriots staff should work with staff at all cities served, in particular City of Salem staff, to create a standard for providing benches and pole-mounted seats at bus stops that merit them. Cherriots staff should then determine where seating is needed and seek out grant funding for that seating and installation.
6.11 Fare changes and additional payment options
Survey respondents made a number of requests for changes to the current fare structure and payment options.

6.11.1 eFare
Riders want more options for paying for their ride. They want to be able to use a credit card, an app, or a tap card so they don’t have to pay cash on the bus or go to the Downtown Transit Center (or select partner agencies) to purchase their 30-day or month passes.

Recommendation: Implement a robust eFare solution that allows riders to pay for their trips both on an app and using a tap card.

6.11.2 Free student or youth pass
There were many calls for making the bus free either for students or all youth. Most students are not able to drive or do not have access to a car, thus making transit vital for getting to and from school and for attending after-school activities. A free youth pass would also help encourage families to ride.

Recommendation: Study the impact a free youth pass (0-18) would have on revenue, equity, and ridership. Consider what it would cost to add overload trips around the end of the school day.

6.11.3 Low-income discounted bus pass
Many agencies around the country are exploring implementing discounted bus passes for low-income residents. This idea came up multiple times in the surveys, and based on previous fare survey data there is a need for discounts for low-income riders.

Recommendation: Develop a proposal for a low-income pass and study the impact it would have on revenue and equity. Determine what would need to be done administratively to determine which riders are eligible for the pass.

6.11.4 Group pass promotion for businesses
Multiple riders suggested promoting the Cherriots Group Pass Program to local businesses, local colleges and universities, and the State of Oregon would help get people to ride. This would help these riders by making their fares free.

Recommendation: Develop a plan to promote the Cherriots Group Pass Program in conjunction with expanded bus service.
6.11.5 Universal passes and simplified fare structure

The current Cherriots fare structure is complicated and confusing. This makes it difficult for riders to understand, and difficult for Cherriots staff to communicate.

**Recommendation:** Replace the current contracted regional day pass with a universal day pass that works on local and regional Cherriots bus routes, as well as SMART 1X buses. Consider extending this to all SMART routes.

Replace the contracted regional month pass with a new universal month pass at a lower price than today. Consider extending to all SMART routes.

Riders wait for their buses at the Downtown Transit Center.
7. Next Steps

Each year Cherriots staff follow the annual service planning process, from initial revenue forecast through implementation of new service. The timeline below summarizes that planning process (Figure 7-1).

Figure 7-1. Cherriots service planning process timeline

In a typical year, this process would only be used to develop a service plan to be implemented in September 2018.

However, due to the additional funding from the State of Oregon, this process will also be used to develop a plan for service enhancements in 2019.
7.1 Plan development (December 2017-January 2018)
Now that the needs assessment phase of the process is complete, staff will begin developing a service plan to attempt to address unmet needs given available funding. This will be split up into two plans: a service plan for September 2018, and a service enhancement plan for 2019 (which will include new funding from the State of Oregon).

7.2 Public engagement (February-March 2018)
Once the service plans are developed, staff will bring both to the public in February and March 2018. There will be extensive outreach during this period to ensure the voices of riders and the larger community are heard.

7.3 Finalization (April 2018)
The proposed service plans will be modified in April 2018 based on input received during the public engagement period.

7.4 Board review (May 2018)
The Cherriots Board of Directors will review the final September 2018 service plan, as well as the plan for service enhancements in 2019. The Board will take action on both at the May Board Meeting.

7.5 Implementation (June-August 2018)
Internal and external materials will be prepared for the September 2018 service change. New service will go into effect on Tuesday, September 4, 2018.

7.6 Service enhancement referral (TBD)
Once the Board of Directors takes action on the plan for 2019 service enhancements, that plan will be submitted to a still-to-be-formed transit committee that will consider the details of the plan and suggest modifications. The timeline for this process, as well as the makeup of the committee, have not yet been established by the State of Oregon.
Attachment A. Rider and Community Survey

English

**Cherriots** How would you improve Cherriots bus service for 2018-2019?

The Oregon Legislature recently passed a bill that secures increased future funding for Cherriots. We’re preparing to enhance our services and we want your feedback on what would work best for you!

1. We are considering adding service on **Saturdays, Sundays, and weekday evenings**. Please help us decide how we should prioritize service by ranking the three options below. Fill in one bubble each.

   - 1 = Most important to you
   - 2 = Second most important to you
   - 3 = Third most important to you

   ○○○ Saturday Service  ○○○ Sunday Service  ○○○ Weekday Evenings

2. We are also looking to expand **holiday service**. Which of these holidays would you ride Cherriots bus or LIFT service if offered? Select all that apply.

   - □ Presidents’ Day
   - □ Veterans Day
   - □ Memorial Day
   - □ Independence Day
   - □ Labor Day
   - □ Easter
   - □ Thanksgiving Day
   - □ Christmas Day
   - □ New Years Day

**SATURDAYS**

3. What kind of trips would you use the bus for on **Saturdays** if Cherriots offered Saturday service? Check all that apply.

   - □ Going to/from work or work-related business
   - □ Shopping
   - □ Other family / personal errands
   - □ School
   - □ Church
   - □ Social and recreational
   - □ Other
   - □ Unsure
   - □ I would not ride

4. If Cherriots offered service on **Saturday**, how **early** should it begin?

   - □ 6 a.m.
   - □ 7 a.m.
   - □ 8 a.m.
   - □ Unsure

5. If Cherriots offered service on **Saturday**, how **late** should it run?

   - □ 9 p.m.
   - □ 10 p.m.
   - □ 11 p.m.
   - □ Unsure

**SUNDAYS**

6. What kind of trips would you use the bus for on **Sundays** if Cherriots offered Sunday service? Check all that apply.

   - □ Going to/from work or work-related business
   - □ Shopping
   - □ Other family / personal errands
   - □ School
   - □ Church
   - □ Social and recreational
   - □ Other
   - □ Unsure
   - □ I would not ride

7. If Cherriots offered service on **Sunday**, how **early** should it begin?

   - □ 6 a.m.
   - □ 7 a.m.
   - □ 8 a.m.
   - □ Unsure

8. If Cherriots offered service on **Sunday**, how **late** should it run?

   - □ 7 p.m.
   - □ 8 p.m.
   - □ 9 p.m.
   - □ Unsure

**WEEKDAY EVENINGS**

9. What kind of trips would you use the bus for on **weekday evenings** if Cherriots extended service on weekdays until 10 or 11 p.m.? Check all that apply.

   - □ Going to/from work or work-related business
   - □ Shopping
   - □ Other family / personal errands
   - □ School
   - □ Church
   - □ Social and recreational
   - □ Other
   - □ Unsure
   - □ I would not ride

10. If Cherriots offers later evening service on weekdays, how **late** should it run?

    - □ Stay at 9 p.m.
    - □ 10 p.m.
    - □ 11 p.m.
    - □ Unsure

FLIP FOR MORE QUESTIONS →
11. In addition to adding service on Saturdays, Sunday, and weekday evenings, what else would you change about Cherriots service to make it work better for you (e.g., route frequency, routing, etc.)?


12. What routes or services do you ride? Check all that apply.

- Route 1X - Wilsonville / Salem
- Route 2 - Market / Brown
- Route 2X - Grand Ronde / Salem
- Route 3 - Portland Road
- Route 4 - State Street
- Route 5 - Center Street
- Route 6 - Mission / Fairview Ind.
- Route 7 - Mission / Hawthorne
- Route 8 - 12th / Liberty
- Route 9 - Cherry / River Road
- Route 10X - Woodburn / Salem
- Route 11 - Lancaster / Verda
- Route 12 - Haynesville
- Route 13 - Silverton Road
- Route 14 - Windsor Island
- Route 15 - Wallace Road
- Route 17 - Edgewater / Gerth
- Route 18 - 12th / Liberty
- Route 19 - Broadway / River
- Route 20X - N. Marion Co. / Salem
- Route 21 - South Commercial
- Route 22 - Library Loop
- Route 23 - Lansing / Hawthorne
- Route 24 - State / Lancaster
- Route 30X - Santiam / Salem
- Route 40X - Polk County / Salem
- Route 50X - Dallas / Salem
- West Salem Connector
- Polk County Flex
- Cherriots LIFT (formerly CherryLift)
- Cherriots Shop and Ride (formerly RED Line)

Once complete, return to Cherriots Customer Service or to an envelope on a Cherriots bus by Friday, November 24.

Learn more or take the survey online at Cherriots.org/enhancement
¿COMO MEJORARIAS EL SERVICIO DE AUTOBÚS CHERRIOTS PARA 2018-2019?

La Legislatura de Oregón recientemente aprobó un proyecto de ley que asegura el aumento de fondos para Cherriots. Nos estamos preparando para mejorar nuestros servicios y queremos sus comentarios sobre lo que funcionaría mejor para usted!

1. Estamos considerando agregar servicio los sábados, domingos y tardes entre semana. Ayúdenos a decidir cómo debemos priorizar el servicio clasificando las tres opciones a continuación. Complétela una burbuja cada una.

   1 = Lo más importante para usted  2 = El segundo más importante para usted  3 = El tercero más importante para usted

☐☐☐ Servicio los Sábados ☐☐☐ Servicio los Domingos ☐☐☐ Servicio de la Tarde Entre Semana

2. También estamos buscando expandir el servicio de días feriados. ¿En cuál de estos días viajarías en el autobús Cherriots o en el servicio LIFT si te lo ofrecen? Seleccione todas las que correspondan.

☐ Día del Presidente  ☐ Día de los Veteranos  ☐ Día Conmemorativo  ☐ Día de la Independencia  ☐ Día laboral  ☐ Pascua  ☐ Día de Acción de Gracias  ☐ Navidad  ☐ Año Nuevo

---

**SÁBADOS**

3. ¿Qué tipo de viajes usaría el autobús para los sábados si Cherriots ofrecería servicio de los sábados? Marque todo lo que corresponda.

☐ Ir a / desde el trabajo
☐ Compras  ☐ Otros recados familiares / personales
☐ Escuela/Colegio  ☐ Iglesia  ☐ Social y recreativo
☐ Otro  ☐ No seguro  ☐ Yo no viajaría

4. Si Cherriots ofrecería servicio el sábado, ¿qué tan temprano debería comenzar?

☐ 6 a.m.  ☐ 7 a.m.
☐ 8 a.m.  ☐ No seguro

5. Si Cherriots ofrecería servicio el sábado, ¿qué tan tarde debería correr?

☐ 9 p.m.  ☐ 10 p.m.
☐ 11 p.m.  ☐ No seguro

---

**DOMINGOS**

6. ¿Qué tipo de viajes usaría el autobús para los domingos si Cherriots ofrecería servicio de los sábados? Marque todo lo que corresponda.

☐ Ir a / desde el trabajo
☐ Compras  ☐ Otros recados familiares / personales
☐ Escuela/Colegio  ☐ Iglesia  ☐ Social y recreativo
☐ Otro  ☐ No seguro  ☐ Yo no viajaría

7. Si Cherriots ofrecería servicio el domingo, ¿qué tan temprano debería comenzar?

☐ 6 a.m.  ☐ 7 a.m.
☐ 8 a.m.  ☐ No seguro

8. Si Cherriots ofrecería servicio el domingo, ¿qué tan tarde debería correr?

☐ 7 p.m.  ☐ 8 p.m.
☐ 9 p.m.  ☐ No seguro

---

**TARDES DE LA SEMANA**

9. ¿Qué tipo de viajes usaría el autobús por las tardes de entre semana si Cherriots extendió el servicio de lunes a viernes hasta las 10 u 11 p.m.? Marque todo lo que corresponda.

☐ Ir a / desde el trabajo
☐ Compras  ☐ Otros recados familiares / personales
☐ Escuela/Colegio  ☐ Iglesia  ☐ Social y recreativo
☐ Otro  ☐ No seguro  ☐ Yo no viajaría

10. Si Cherriots ofrecería servicio nocturno más tarde los días de la semana, ¿qué tan tarde debería correr?

☐ Quedar a las 9 p.m.  ☐ 10 p.m.
☐ 11 p.m.  ☐ No seguro

PÁGINA DE FLIP PARA MÁS PREGUNTAS →
11. Además de agregar servicio los sábados, domingos y durante la semana, ¿qué más cambiaría sobre el servicio de Cherriots para que funcione mejor para usted (por ejemplo, frecuencia de ruta, enrutamiento, etc.)?

12. ¿Qué rutas o servicios usas? Marque todo lo que corresponda.

- [ ] Ruta 1X - Wilsonville / Salem
- [ ] Ruta 2 - Market / Brown
- [ ] Ruta 2X - Grand Ronde / Salem
- [ ] Ruta 3 - Portland Road
- [ ] Ruta 4 - State Street
- [ ] Ruta 5 - Center Street
- [ ] Ruta 6 - Mission / Fairview Ind.
- [ ] Ruta 7 - Mission / Hawthorne
- [ ] Ruta 8 - 12th / Liberty
- [ ] Ruta 9 - Cherry / River Road
- [ ] Ruta 10X - Woodburn / Salem
- [ ] Ruta 11 - Lancaster / Verda
- [ ] Ruta 12 - Hayesville
- [ ] Ruta 13 - Silverton Road
- [ ] Ruta 14 - Windsor Island
- [ ] Ruta 16 - Wallace Road
- [ ] Ruta 17 - Edgewater / Gerth
- [ ] Ruta 18 - 12th / Liberty
- [ ] Ruta 19 - Broadway / River
- [ ] Ruta 20X - N. Marion Co. / Salem
- [ ] Ruta 21 - South Commercial
- [ ] Ruta 22 - Library Loop
- [ ] Ruta 23 - Lansing / Hawthorne
- [ ] Ruta 24 - State / Lancaster
- [ ] Ruta 30X - Santiam / Salem
- [ ] Ruta 40X - Polk County / Salem
- [ ] Ruta 50X - Dallas / Salem
- [ ] West Salem Connector
- [ ] Polk County Flex
- [ ] Cherriots Lift
  (anteriormente CherryLift)
- [ ] Cherriots Shop and Ride
  (anteriormente RED Line)

Una vez completado, regrese al Servicio de atención al cliente de Cherriots o a un sobre en un autobús de Cherriots antes del viernes 24 de noviembre.

Obtenga más información o realice la encuesta en línea en Cherriots.org/enhancement

PÁGINA ABREVIADA PARA LAS PRIMERAS PREGUNTAS →
Attachment B. Frontline Employee Survey

Cherriots

FRONLINE EMPLOYEE SERVICE IMPROVEMENT SURVEY FOR 2018-2019

Every year in October or November, the Planning Department will reach out to all frontline employees at Cherriots to get input on how we can improve our services. Frontline employees are those that directly interact with riders, including transit operators and customer service representatives.

This year, the ideas we learn from you will not only help guide the planning process for changes in September 2018, but also for January 2019 and May 2019 because of the new funding from the State of Oregon.

HOW CAN WE IMPROVE SERVICE?

1. What have you heard from riders about how can we improve service for them?

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

2. What changes can we make to service in order to help set you up for success (e.g. changes to deadhead times)?

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

FLIP FOR MORE QUESTIONS →
3. With increased funding from the State of Oregon, we will be adding new service in 2019. What are your ideas on how to use the new funding to increase ridership and make service more useful for the community?

________________________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________________________

4. What else, if anything, would you recommend we change about our service?

________________________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________________________

ABOUT YOU (Optional)
First Name __________________ Last Name __________________
What is your role?

In-House Employee
☐ Transit Operator ☐ Operations Supervisor ☐ Customer Service Representative
☐ Travel Trainer ☐ Outreach Representative (or anyone who conducts outreach) ☐ Receptionist ☐ Other

Contracted Employee
☐ Transit Operator (MV Transportation) ☐ Road Supervisor / Dispatcher (MV Transportation)
☐ Transit Host ☐ Security Officer (G4S) ☐ Mobility Assessor (MTM) ☐ Call Center Employee (MTM)

Once complete, you can return your survey to any of the following locations:
Operator Ideas and Comments Box, envelopes on buses, dispatch, your supervisor, or interoffice mail to Jolynn Franke. All surveys will be organized by Jolynn Franke.

If you would rather take the survey online, go to
surveymonk.com/r/CherriotsFrontline2017 (available on Portal).

Surveys are due Monday, November 27.
To: Board of Directors

From: Allan Pollock, General Manager

Date: January 8, 2018

Subject: HB 2017 Qualified Entity Advisory Committee

As part of HB 2017, it is required that all Qualified Entity’s (QE) appoint an Advisory Committee to assist the QE in carrying out the purposes of the State Transportation Improvement Fund (STIF) and determine the projects to be funded as part of the QE’s STIF Plan.

While the rules are not yet finalized, they are at a point in the process where the advisory committee requirements are complete enough for QE’s to begin work on establishing an advisory committee.

I have attached the draft advisory committee requirements, based on the December 5, 2017 Rules Advisory Committee work, to assist the District in the establishment of its HB 2017 advisory committee.

The purpose of tonight’s discussion is to review the draft rules and begin identifying the composition of the District’s advisory committee.

Attachment:
ODOT Rail and Public Transit Division STIF Draft General and Formula Rules
Advisory Committee Requirements

(1) The Qualified Entity will appoint an Advisory Committee.

(2) The purpose of the Advisory Committee is to assist the Qualified Entity in carrying out the purposes of the STIF Formula Fund and determine the Projects to be funded as part of Qualified Entity’s STIF Plan.

(3) The Advisory Committee will:

(a) Review every Project proposed for inclusion in the Qualified Entities’ STIF Plan consistent with the STIF Plan or Project proposal review process;

(b) Advise and assist the Governing Body of the Qualified Entity by recommending Projects to be included in the STIF Plan;

(c) Review and determine the distribution of Formula Fund moneys within the Qualified Entity’s area or if the Qualified entity is a Mass Transit or Public Transportation District, the distribution within and outside the district;

(d) Will consider the following criteria when identifying Projects for inclusion in the STIF Plan:

(A) Whether the Project increases the frequency of bus service to areas with a High Percentage of Low Income Individuals;

(B) Whether the Project expands bus routes and bus services to serve areas with a High Percentage of Low Income Individuals;

(C) Whether the Project reduces fares for public transportation for Low Income Individuals;

(D) Whether the Project will procure buses that are powered by natural gas or electricity for use in areas with a population of 200,000 or more;

(E) Whether the Project will improve the frequency and reliability of service connections between communities inside and outside of the Qualified Entity’s service area;

(F) Whether the Project increases the coordination between Public Transportation Service Providers to reduce fragmentation of service and fill service gaps;
(G) Whether the Project will maintain a productive service funded by the STIF Formula funds during a previous funding cycle; and

(H) Other factors to be determined by the Commission, Agency, Qualified Entity or Advisory Committee, such as geographic equity(e) Advise the Qualified Entity regarding the opportunities to coordinate STIF funded Projects with other local or regional transportation programs and services to improve transportation service delivery and reduce gaps;

(f) Recommend a STIF Plan to the Governing Body of the Qualified Entity;

(g) A Governing Body may accept the STIF Plan as recommended by the Advisory Committee, return it to the Advisory Committee for modifications, or modify it for submittal to the Agency;

(h) If the Governing Body modifies the Advisory Committee’s recommended STIF Plan, they must inform the Agency and the Commission of all modifications and the justification for such changes.

(i) Adhere to Oregon Public Meetings laws, as applicable;

(j) Meet a minimum of two times per year, or as needed to advise the Qualified Entity in carrying out the purposes of the STIF Formula Fund;

(k) Be guided by written bylaws that include, but are not limited to, name and purpose, committee membership criteria, appointment process, terms of office for the committee members, procedures of the committee, member duties, meeting schedule, public noticing and engagement processes, STIF Plan development process with Public Transportation Service providers, and decision-making criteria.

(4) The Advisory Committee shall review proposed Projects and STIF Plans and may propose any changes to the policies or practices of the Governing Body of the Qualified Entity that the Advisory Committee considers necessary to ensure that:

(a) A Qualified Entity or Public Transportation Service Provider that has received STIF Formula funding has applied the moneys received in accordance with and for the purposes described in the STIF Plan or Project proposal; or

(b) A STIF Plan or Project proposal submitted by a Qualified Entity or Public Transportation Service Provider does not fragment the provision of public transportation services.

(5) The terms of office for the Advisory Committee members are at the discretion of the Qualified Entity.

(6) The Qualified Entity will notify the Agency of changes in the Advisory Committee membership as part of their application.

(7) Copies of Advisory Committee bylaws, minutes and meeting notices will be published on the Qualified Entity’s website.
(8) The composition of an Advisory Committee shall include:

(a) The Advisory Committee of a Qualified Entity that is an Indian Tribe will be composed of at least three members; and

(b) To be qualified to serve on an Advisory Committee of an Indian Tribe, an individual must be able to represent the public transportation needs of individuals served by the Indian Tribe.

(c) The Advisory Committee of a Transportation District or county will be composed of at least five members and the Advisory Committee of a Mass Transit District will be composed of at least seven members, which meet the qualifications of paragraphs X through X of this rule. Advisory Committees may be larger in order to have effective stakeholder representation.

(d) To be qualified to serve on the Advisory Committee for a Qualified Entity that is a Transportation or Mass Transit District or county, an individual will:

(i) Reside or work in the district or county;

(ii) Be knowledgeable about the public transportation needs of residents or employees located within or traveling to and or from the Transportation or Mass Transit District or county; and

(iii) Be a person who represents one or more of the following:

- local governments, including land use planners
- Public Transportation Service Providers,
- non-profit public transportation service providers,
- neighboring public transportation service providers,
- employers,
- social and human service providers,
- transit dependent users,
- seniors,
- people with disabilities,
- Low Income Individuals,
- social equity advocates,
- environmental advocates,
- bicycle and pedestrian advocates,
- people with limited-English proficiency,
- educational institutions,
- major destinations

[WS-95]
(e) At a minimum, an Advisory Committee for a Mass Transit District, Transportation District, and County Qualified Entity must include people who represent:

- Low Income Individuals,
- seniors and/or people with disabilities,
- Public Transportation Service Providers and/or non-profit public transportation service providers

(f) A Qualified entity that is a Mass Transit District or a Transportation District will include Advisory Committee members from both within and outside district boundaries.

(g) The Qualified Entity will seek to appoint an Advisory Committee composed of members that represent diverse interests, perspectives, geography, and the demographics of the area.

(h) A Qualified Entity may use another standing advisory committee or combine committees to meet the requirements of these rules as long as that committee also meets all of the committee requirements contained herein.
BYLAWS GOVERNING PROCEDURES AND CONDUCT OF THE
CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE
OF SALEM AREA MASS TRANSIT DISTRICT

Article 1 - Mission

The mission of the Citizens Advisory Committee (“CAC”), established by the Salem Area Mass Transit District (“District”) Board of Directors (“Board”), is to act as an advisory committee to the Board on transportation-related issues of the District.

Article 2 - Purpose and Responsibilities

The CAC shall meet to discuss and make recommendations to the Board on the District’s transportation policies, programs and services, and perform other duties as assigned by the Board. The CAC shall encourage and promote transportation services that meet the needs of the Salem/Keizer community.

The purpose of the CAC will be to advise the Board and District staff on transit-related issues, make suggestions for transit service improvements, and to explore opportunities for enhanced funding for public transportation. The CAC will advise the Board, General Manager and District staff on the following items:

A. Adhering to the requirements of the American with Disabilities Act (ADA).

B. Issues related to the quality of the public’s ridership experience and other engagement with the District.

C. Issues related to advocacy, including:
   1) Cultivating an understanding of public transportation policy issues and opportunities among members of the CAC.
   2) Identifying opportunities for adequate funding for the District from governmental, quasi-governmental, non-profit and for-profit entities.
   3) Mobilizing public transportation customers, supporters, and other public transportation stakeholders.

Article 3 - Membership and Terms of Appointment

Composition of the CAC: The CAC is composed of nine (9) community representatives – seven (7) selected by individual members of the Board and two (2) from a slate of candidates who apply and are chosen according to the process set out below:

A. A CAC member must –
1) Reside within the District’s service area, which may include locations within the Urban Growth Boundary and cities and towns within Marion and Polk counties,
2) Have a familiarity with Cherriots services.
3) Be at least 18 years of age and use Cherriots services periodically; however, transit dependency is not a requirement for CAC membership.
4) Be able to communicate knowledgeably about Cherriots in particular, and public transit in general.
5) Have the ability to advocate on behalf of public transit and promote Cherriots services.

B. CAC membership must reflect the larger community and have diverse representation with regard to age, gender, race, disability, transit dependence, availability to participate in CAC activities, and geography.

C. Travel Reimbursement: Each CAC member will receive a 30-day (monthly for Regional) transit pass to cover transportation expenses. Passes will be mailed to CAC members. Other arrangements for pass distribution may be made on an individual basis.

D. Members shall be appointed to the CAC by the Board for a term of two years, but shall serve at the pleasure of the Board. Members may only serve two consecutive two-year terms on the CAC. CAC terms will begin in the month of January and end in the month of December.

E. The Board may appoint CAC members to fill unexpired terms. CAC members appointed to serve the balance of an unexpired term shall have the opportunity to serve two consecutive two-year terms after the conclusion of the original appointment. In the transition period, inaugural committee members will be allowed to serve the remainder of a term in an Excess At Large Capacity.

F. A CAC member who has served two consecutive terms may be nominated and recommended for future terms 12 months after their previous term has expired.

Article 4 - Officers

The Chair and Vice-Chair of the CAC shall be appointed on an annual basis by the Board. The Chair and Vice Chair shall serve for a term of 12 months, but for no more than two consecutive terms. The CAC may recommend to the Board CAC members to serve as Chair or Vice Chair. Appointments are to be made every December (or in the meeting month closest to the end of the calendar year) to become effective upon the expiration of the Chair’s and Vice-Chair’s terms.
A. The duties of the Chair are to preside at the meetings, and perform other duties assigned by the Board. The Chair, on behalf of the CAC, shall present reports to the Board that are necessary to execute any and all of the responsibilities of the CAC. The Chair may, at the Board’s direction, appoint subcommittee members to address special topics as needed.

B. The duties of the Vice-Chair are to perform the duties of the Chair, in the absence of the Chair. The Vice-Chair shall perform other duties as assigned by the Board.

Article 5 - Committee Vacancies

When a vacancy occurs, the Board, by majority vote of its members at any meeting, may appoint a new member to the CAC to serve the remainder of the unexpired term. The Board may select an appropriate appointee from candidates expressing an interest in such an appointment, or from candidates responding to a call for applications through a public advertisement.

Article 6 - Conduct of Meetings

A. All meetings shall be conducted according to Oregon’s Public Meetings Law and are open to the public.

B. A quorum of the CAC shall consist of a majority of all the members, and a quorum must be present for any business to be conducted. A majority vote of those members present is needed to approve any recommendation. No member may vote unless present.

C. The meetings shall be conducted according to Robert’s Rules of Order. A record of each meeting will be kept with written minutes.

D. Regular meetings shall be scheduled with at least one week’s notice and will be held at the District’s Administration Office; or such other place as designated by the District, and so noticed in conformance with applicable laws.

E. All members are expected to attend scheduled meetings. If a member is unable to attend a scheduled meeting, that member shall contact the District’s staff representative and/or the CAC Chair at least one business day in advance, to give notice, except in cases of an emergency. A member who fails to notify the District’s staff representative and/or the CAC Chair of their intended absence for two consecutive meetings, or is absent three or more meetings over a one-year period, may have his/her membership declared vacant.

F. Members of the Board will be invited to attend CAC meetings on a rotating basis. The invitations shall be rotated so that all Board members are invited to attend at
least once during the year. This open-invitation policy does not preclude or reduce
the desirability of the Board appointing a liaison to the CAC.

G. Regular CAC meetings shall be held quarterly. The meeting schedule shall be set
and voted upon at the first meeting of the calendar year. With possible exceptions,
the regular meetings will be used for presentations from District staff, contractors
and other parties of interest to the CAC.

Article 7 - Amendments

The CAC, through its Chair, may recommend to the Board, amendments to the Bylaws
that govern the CAC by a two-thirds vote of all its members. Only the Board shall have
the authority to amend these Bylaws, and the Board may do so on its own initiative.

Adopted by Board Resolution No. 2017-03 on December 14, 2017.

Robert Krebs, President

ATTEST:

Colleen Busch, Board Secretary

Actions taken by the Board of Directors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90-01</td>
<td>Jan 25, 1990</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>Attachment A Elderly/Handicapped Transportation Advisory Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-02</td>
<td>Mar 28, 1991</td>
<td>Amend</td>
<td>Attachment A Elderly/Handicapped Transportation Advisory Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97-02</td>
<td>Feb 27, 1997</td>
<td>Amend</td>
<td>Renamed Elderly and Disabled Consumer Advisory Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97-28</td>
<td>Dec 18, 1997</td>
<td>Amend</td>
<td>Renamed Senior and Disabled Consumer Advisory Committee;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99-02</td>
<td>Mar 25, 1999</td>
<td>Rescind</td>
<td>Dissolve Elderly/Handicapped Transit Advisory Committee; Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Consumer Advisory Committee; Replace with CAC Bylaws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-13</td>
<td>Dec 08, 2011</td>
<td>Rescind</td>
<td>Dissolve Consumer Advisory Committee; Form Citizens Advisory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Committee Bylaws; Replace Bylaws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-12</td>
<td>Dec 12, 2013</td>
<td>Amend</td>
<td>Amend the Citizens Advisory Committee Bylaws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-13</td>
<td>Dec 14, 2017</td>
<td>Amend</td>
<td>Amend the Citizens Advisory Committee Bylaws</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To: Board of Directors

From: Allan Pollock, General Manager

Date: January 8, 2018

Subject: CITY OF SALEM TASK FORCE ON CONGESTION

On November 13, 2017, the City of Salem created a task force to find ways to alleviate traffic congestion around the Marion and Center Street Bridges. I have attached a copy of the City of Salem Council Memo that established the Task Force.

The text below has been extracted from the City of Salem website:

On November 13, City Council voted to create a task force to find ways to alleviate traffic congestion around the Marion and Center Street Bridges. It will consist of four City Council members who will develop a list of short to long-term recommendations.

At the council meeting, Mayor Bennett said, "We know there is an ongoing congestion problem downtown and that traffic flow is not working."

For the past 10 years, regional transportation experts, City Staff, and the community have considered options and alternatives to a new Willamette River crossing. This process will produce a Final Environmental Impact Statement for a potential new crossing, but not the bridge itself. There is no timeline for completion of a Final Environmental Impact Statement, and it could take another 10 years beyond completion to fund, design, and begin construction of a new bridge.

In light of that fact, Council recognizes that current congestion must be reduced as soon as possible. "We need to make some real progress on that now," said Ward 6 Councilor Chris Hoy.

The task force will examine the results of studies and projects from the past 20 years, as well as current and projected traffic data to inform their recommendations. Any potential solutions they develop will be independent of any decisions related to a new bridge.

"I think that this proposal really has nothing to do with any environmental impact statement," said Tom Andersen, Ward 2 Councilor. "I think what we’re doing here is a
separate project that needs to happen right now because the goal here is to improve the traffic flow and to decrease congestion on both sides of the river."

The task force will hold several meetings from January 2018 through June 2018. They will primarily focus on finding better ways for motor vehicles to get around on and near the bridges while keeping in mind other forms of transportation such as walking, biking, and public transit.

Residents may attend the task force meetings and will have the opportunity to review and comment on recommendations when they are proposed for inclusion in the Capital Improvement Program. Meeting dates will be available on the City website.

The discussion for this work session is how the Board wishes to respond to the establishment of this task force. Options could include:

- Establish a Board member or subcommittee to monitor activities of the task force
- Assign the General Manager to monitor activities of the task force
TO: Mayor and City Council

THROUGH: Steve Powers, City Manager

FROM: Chuck Bennett, Mayor

SUBJECT:
Creation of a Council Task Force to evaluate options for reducing traffic congestion and improving vehicular mobility around the Marion and Center Street bridges.

Ward(s): Ward 1, 2
Councilor(s): Kaser, Andersen
Neighborhood(s): CANDO, SCAN, West Salem

ISSUE:
I move that the City Council create a four-member Council Task Force to evaluate options for reducing traffic congestion and improving vehicular mobility around the Marion and Center Street bridges. I further move that City Council direct the City Manager to fund the Task Force’s activities, and designate Public Works Department staff to support the Task Force with data collection and analysis, and contract consultant assistance.

SUMMARY AND BACKGROUND:
Over the past decade, regional transportation experts, City staff, and the community have been
considering options and alternatives related to a new Willamette River crossing. The process has been lengthy and controversial. When completed, the process will yield a Final Environmental Impact Statement for a new facility, not the facility itself. We understand that even with a Final EIS in place, it may take another decade or more to fund, design, and begin construction of a new bridge.

In the meantime, congestion and vehicular mobility continue to plague the downtown and inner west Salem areas around the existing bridges. There is a need to address vehicular mobility and traffic congestion immediately and independent of decisions related to the Willamette River crossing. If approved by Council, the charge of the Task Force will be to study the issues and develop a list of short-, medium-, and long-term projects and a funding strategy that-when implemented-will reduce traffic congestion and improve vehicular mobility.

While acknowledging the importance of improving non-vehicular modes of transportation—including pedestrian, bicycle, and public transit—and the possibility that other travel demand management measures—such as changed work hours—the work of this Task Force is to be directed primarily at identifying opportunities for improving vehicular mobility and ways to reduce vehicular congestion within the study area.

The Task Force, if authorized, will be a City Council committee. Member shall be appointed by the Mayor, pursuant to Section 22 of the Charter.

The idea was discussed with staff prior to developing the motion. Based on these discussions, the following work scope outline was developed. The work scope will be further refined when the Task Force gets underway.

1. Schedule, Study Boundaries, and Public Involvement
   a. Project Schedule
      i. Begin: December 2017
      ii. End: June 2018
   b. Study Boundaries
      i. North: Union Street NE/Orchard Heights Road NW
      ii. South: Mission Street SE/Edgewater Street NW
      iii. East: 12th Street SE/NE
      iv. West: Wallace Road NW
   c. Public Involvement
      i. Public involvement in this effort will be limited to attendance at the Task Force meetings. Robust public review and comment on recommended projects and funding will be expected when the recommendations are proposed for inclusion in infrastructure plans and the Capital Improvement Program.

2. Work Scope
   a. Existing Conditions (Within the study area boundaries)
      i. Compile studies and projects completed within the last 20 years.
      ii. Compile active studies and projects with estimated completion dates.
      iii. Compile active studies, projects, and proposals from private groups such as Main Street.
      iv. Map all current traffic volume, speed, and queueing data.
b. Future Conditions (Using results from existing travel demand models and limited to the study area boundaries)
   i. Map future traffic volume, speed, and queueing data.

c. Policy Analysis (Within the study area boundaries)
   i. Review adopted policies in the *Salem Transportation System Plan* related to mobility, congestion management, and parking.
   ii. Review adopted policies in the *Salem Comprehensive Parks Master Plan* related to parks and their uses.
   iii. Review adopted policies, programs, and planned projects in the Riverfront/Downtown and West Salem Urban Renewal Area Plans.
   iv. Review existing practices and policies related to providing on-street parking and alternate modes of transportation.
   v. Recommend changes to adopted policies, practices, and projects that may facilitate improved traffic congestion and vehicular mobility.

d. Idea Development (Based on the information developed above)
   i. Develop ideas to reduce traffic congestion and vehicular mobility in the short- (within 5 years), medium- (within 10 years), and long-term (longer than 10 years).
   ii. Select the most promising ideas for detailed traffic engineering analysis
   iii. Conduct traffic engineering analysis on the selected ideas that include the following.
       1. Estimated immediate improvement in traffic flow, delay, and queueing.
       2. Estimated future improvement in traffic flow, delay, and queueing.
   iv. Develop planning-level cost estimates for the selected ideas.

e. Financial Plan
   i. Develop a funding strategy to implement the selected ideas.

f. Recommendations and Reporting
   i. Develop a list of recommendations that includes the following:
      1. Changes to adopted policies, practices, and projects that facilitate improved traffic congestion and vehicular mobility.
      2. Projects that facilitate improved traffic congestion and vehicular mobility in the short-, medium-, and long-term.
      3. A funding strategy to implement the selected ideas.
      4. A prioritized listing of areas recommended for further research, presented in the form of questions to be answered.
   ii. Draft a report to the City Council documenting the recommendations.

Attachment: None
Mayor weighs in on 3rd Bridge

By: CHRIS WILHELM - WSNA Secretary

At the November WSNA meeting, Salem Mayor Chuck Bennett presented more information about his recent city council motion to form a task force to study traffic congestion and vehicular movement around the Center and Marion Street bridges. Specifically the Mayor said the task force will be made up of four members; himself, Councilor Kaser, Councilor Lewis, and Councilor Hoy. They will engage a contractor to help with traffic modeling and feasibility evaluations of any proposed ideas. The mayor and councilors ask that anyone who has an idea about how to improve traffic congestion in this area to write up their proposal in detail, and submit it to him or any of the task force members. There will be an email address, soon, that will also accept proposals from the public.

The first undertaking for the task force will be to take a look at the existing bridges to see what can be done. Work regarding the seismic retrofitting preparations and evaluations of the Center Street bridge will be starting soon, and it is the desire of the mayor for recommendations of this task force to capitalize on any economies of scale that present themselves, with regard to adding additional lanes of traffic to the Center Street bridge, or other ideas of merit that materialize. It is the goal of the task force to have a list of actionable, feasible improvements that can be carried out in a reasonable amount of time and cost – prepared by early July, 2019.

The meetings of the task force will be public, but will not have a period for public comment. It is the desire that all ideas from the public be submitted in writing to the task force such that there isn’t anything misinterpreted or misunderstood by accident.

The Mayor went on to state that, “Some people may have misunderstood the intent of this task force and misinterpreted its creation to mean ‘This is the end of the 3rd bridge. It’s done, it’s over.’ That statement is simply incorrect. My hope is the future holds a 3rd bridge. If we think and discuss rationally, the 3rd bridge happens in a minimum of ten years. Maybe five years if a private company comes in and charges a toll. If the environmental impact study were approved today, and I believe it should be, we really are looking at ten more years of work ahead. However, the current city council is not in support of completing the environmental impact study at this time.

By: JIM ALLHISER - WSNA Chair

Other exciting news around West Salem is, the Salem Transportation Improvement Program is planning on improving the pedestrian travel along Orchard Heights. The plan will connect two missing segments of sidewalk and construct a median at the entrance of Orchard Heights Park. With the completion of this project there will be complete pedestrian facilities along the south side of Orchard Heights for almost 2 miles from Wallace Rd.

Don’t forget the city’s Fall Leaf Haul. In an effort to prevent our beautiful fall leaves from clogging storm drains and local flooding the city has come together to help. Leaves taken to Brown’s Island, 2895 Faragate St S, Salem, OR 97302, will be accepted with no fee December 2nd from 9 am to 3 pm.

For Board Work Session of January 8, 2018
Agenda Item No. WS.2.d
You are Cordially Invited to the Mid-Willamette Valley Council of Governments’ Annual Meeting & Dinner
Wednesday, January 31, 2018

Host: Confederated Tribes of Grand Ronde Spirit Mountain Event Center 27100 SW Salmon River Hwy Grand Ronde, Oregon

The program for the evening begins with registration at 6 p.m. and opening ceremonies at 6:30 p.m. A reception with no-host bar (beer and wine) will follow. A plated dinner will be served at 7 p.m., and the Annual Meeting and Awards will begin at 7:30 p.m.

The plated dinner will include: a choice of Herb Parmesan Chicken topped with a light cream sauce, Top Sirloin Steak topped with sautéed onions and mushroom Madeira sauce, or a Vegetarian option (please indicate your choice on your registration form). Your entrée will be accompanied by seasonal vegetables, garlic mashed potatoes, green salad, warm rolls and butter, and a Chef’s selected dessert.

The Annual Meeting is for everyone—spouses and friends are encouraged to attend. Pre-registration is required.

Please complete a registration form and return it, with payment of $40 per person, to the MWVCOG offices by Friday, January 19, 2018. A registration form is enclosed, and is also available as a fillable.pdf on our website (www.mwvcog.org). (Please note that we cannot take credit card payments at this time. Sorry for any inconvenience.)

Questions?
Contact Denise VanDyke at 503-540-1602 or dvandyke@mwvcog.org

Cancellation Policy: No refunds for cancellations received after January 19, 2018.
Salem Area Mass Transit District

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Thursday, January 25, 2018
5:30 PM   Executive Session
6:30 PM   Regular Board Meeting

Courthouse Square
555 Court Street NE
Salem, Oregon 97301

DRAFT AGENDA

EXECUTIVE SESSION – Salem Conference Room

1. To conduct deliberations with persons designated by the governing body to carry on labor negotiations and consider information or records that are exempt by law from public inspection pursuant to ORS 192.660(2)(d)(f).

2. ADJOURN EXECUTIVE SESSION

REGULAR BOARD MEETING – Senator Hearing Room

A. CALL TO ORDER & NOTE OF ATTENDANCE

B. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – Director Marcia Kelley (Subdistrict #7)

C. ANNOUNCEMENTS & CHANGES TO AGENDA

D. PRESENTATION

E. PUBLIC COMMENT - Each person’s comments are limited to three (3) minutes.

F. CONSENT CALENDAR - [Action]
   1. Approval of Minutes
      a. Minutes of the December 14, 2018 Board Meeting
      b. Minutes of the January 8, 2018 Board Work Session
   2. Routine Business
      a.

G. ITEMS DEFERRED FROM THE CONSENT CALENDAR

H. ACTION ITEMS
   1. Adoption of the 2018 Legislative Agenda
   2. Service Enhancement Proposals and Outreach Process

[WS-109]
I. INFORMATION ITEMS
   1.
   2.

J. BOARD & MANAGEMENT REPORTS
   1. General Manager
   2. Board of Directors

K. ADJOURNMENT
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Session</th>
<th>Board Meeting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>January 8, 2018</strong></td>
<td><strong>January 25, 2018</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packets due to GM office: January 2</td>
<td>Packets due to GM office: January 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• MWVCOG Annual Meeting/Dinner</td>
<td>• EXECUTIVE SESSION: Labor Negotiations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Present draft 2018 legislative agenda</td>
<td>• Adoption of 2018 legislative agenda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• CAC Membership</td>
<td>• Service Enhancement Proposals and Outreach Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Service Enhancement &amp; HB2017 TAC Discussion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• City's Task Force on Near-Term Solutions to</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce Bridge Congestion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **February 12, 2018**                            | **February 22, 2018**                               |
| Packets due to GM office: February 5             | Packets due to GM office: February 8                |
| • Service Enhancement Discussion                 | • Q2 finance, trip choice, performance report       |
| • New Cherriots Website Reveal w/OMBU            | • Approval of CAD/AVL Contract                      |
| • Discussion of CAD/AVL Proposal                  |                                                     |

| **March 12, 2018**                               | **March 22, 2018**                                  |
| Packets due to GM office: March 5                | Packets due to GM office: March 8                   |
| • Service Enhancement Discussion                 | •                                                   |
| •                                                   |                                                     |

| **April 9, 2018**                                | **April 26, 2018**                                  |
| Packets due to GM office: April 2                 | Packets due to GM office: April 12                  |
| • Service Enhancement Discussion                  | • May Service Change Briefing                       |
| •                                                   |                                                     |

| **May 14, 2018**                                 | **May 24, 2018**                                    |
| Packets due to GM office: May 7                   | Packets due to GM office: May 10                    |
| • Service Enhancement Discussion                  | • Consent: Adopt FY2019 BOD meeting schedule        |
| •                                                   | • Q3 finance, trip choice, performance report       |
| •                                                   |                                                     |

| **June 12, 2018**                                | **June 22, 2018**                                   |
| Packets due to GM office: June 4                  | Packets due to GM office: June 14                   |
| • Service Enhancement Discussion                  | • Hearing/Res#2018-XX adopt FY19 budget             |
| •                                                   | • Res#2018-XX Amendment to FY18 Budget              |
| •                                                   | • Approval of FY2019 United Way Donation (C)       |
UPDATED: January 3, 2018

TO: SAMTD BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FROM: ALLAN POLLOCK, GENERAL MANAGER
SUBJECT: CALENDAR OF SCHEDULED MEETINGS

Meetings are held in the Senator Hearing Room at Courthouse Square, 555 Court St NE, unless otherwise noted

**JANUARY 2018**

1 Mon CLOSED  
**HOLIDAY: New Year’s Day**  
Cherriots Administration Offices-Customer Service Closed; NO Bus Service

2 Tue 3:00-4:30p  
STF Advisory Committee CANCELLED

8 Mon 5:30 PM  
SAMTD Board Work Session

25 Thu 5:30 PM  
Executive Session - Labor Negotiations ORS192.660(2)(d)(4)  
6:30 PM  
SAMTD Board of Directors Meeting

31 Wed 6:30 PM  
MWVCOG Annual Meeting / Dinner  
Spirit Mountain Event Center, 27100 SW Salmon River Hwy, Grand Ronde

**FEBRUARY 2018**

6 Tue 3:00-4:30p  
STF Advisory Committee

12 Mon 5:30 PM  
SAMTD Board Work Session

19 Mon CLOSED  
**HOLIDAY: President’s Day**  
Cherriots Administration Offices-Customer Service Closed; NO Bus Service

22 Thu 6:30 PM  
SAMTD Board of Directors Meeting

**MARCH 2018**

6 Tue 3:00-4:30p  
STF Advisory Committee

12 Mon 5:30 PM  
SAMTD Board Work Session

18-20  
APTA Legislative Conference, Washington D.C.

22 Thu 6:30 PM  
SAMTD Board of Directors Meeting

**APRIL 2018**

3 Tue 3:00-4:30p  
STF Advisory Committee

9 Mon 5:30 PM  
SAMTD Board Work Session

26 Thu 6:30 PM  
SAMTD Board of Directors Meeting

**MAY 2018**

1 Tue 3:00-4:30p  
STF Advisory Committee

3 Thu 6:00 PM  
SAMTD Budget Committee Orientation

10 Thu 6:00 PM  
SAMTD Budget Committee Meeting 1

14 Mon 5:30 PM  
SAMTD Board Work Session

17 Thu 6:00 PM  
SAMTD Budget Committee Meeting 2

24 Thu 6:30 PM  
SAMTD Board of Directors Meeting

28 Mon CLOSED  
**HOLIDAY MEMORIAL DAY**
January 8, 2018

Mr. James Seymour, Executive Director
Catholic Community Services Foundation
P.O. Box 20400
Salem, OR 97307

Dear Mr. Seymour:

The Salem Area Mass Transit District is in receipt of your letter, dated December 11, 2017, concerning a local option resolution that allows non-profit organizations to apply for property tax exemptions on properties built after 2012. In many communities, including the mid-Willamette Valley, transportation options and affordable housing are significant barriers to community livability for many individuals and families.

The District is supportive of your effort for this tax exemption and understands you are working with the City of Salem, Salem-Keizer Public Schools, and Marion and Polk Counties in an effort to obtain 51% of taxing districts to agree with the exemption. If, in the unlikely scenario you need another taxing district to take action to achieve your requirement, please let me know and we will be happy to work with you.

Thank you for your leadership in seeking solutions to improve livability in our community through low-income affordable housing.

Sincerely,

Robert Krebs
President, Board of Directors

Cc: SAMTD Board of Directors
    Allan Pollock, General Manager